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O friend, who sittest young yet wise
Beneath the Bo-tree's shade,
Confronting life with kindly eyes,
A scholar unafraid

To follow thought to any sea
Or back to any fount,
'Tis modern parables to me
From thy instruction mount.

Was Barlaam truly Josaphat,
And Buddha truly each?
What better parable than that
The unity to preach—

The simple brotherhood of souls
That seek the highest good;
He who in kingly chariot rolls,
Or wears the hermit's hood!

The Church mistook? These heathen once
Among her Saints to range!
That deed of some diviner dunce
Our wisdom would not change.

For Culture's Pantheon they grace
In catholic array.
Each Saint hath had his hour and place,
But now 'tis All Saints' Day.

I. ZANGWILL

October 27, 1895
I trust I shall not be accused of overstepping the bounds of modesty if I confess from the start that I have chiefly reprinted the accompanying versions of the legend of Barlaam and Josaphat in order that I might write the Introduction to them. The English versions of the Barlaam legend are but poor things, contracted and truncated to such an extent that scarcely anything remains of their resemblance to the original. Of the five or six versions extant in English, I have selected that one which first appeared in print, viz., Caxton’s treatment in his Golden Legend, and the last that appeared in print independently, a Chapbook in verse, kindly placed at my disposal by Mr. G. H. Skipwith.

I have not, however, confined myself to the English versions in the Introduction, which deals
generally with the history of the legend, which forms one of the most remarkable episodes in the history of literature. The fact that by its means Buddha had been, if only informally, canonised a Saint of the Church, would be enough to attract attention to it. But many of the parables enframed in the legend have had a history even more remarkable than the legend itself. As is well known, the Caskets story of the Merchant of Venice is ultimately derived from Barlaam and Josaphat.

I have for some time been making rather extensive collections for the Introduction to this work, in order to make it a companion study to my treatment of the Fables of Bidpai and Aesop in the same series. But all that I have collected, and much more also, has been put together by Dr. Ernst Kuhn in a contribution to the Abhandlungen of the Bavarian Academy of Science (Munich, 1893). This is one of those erudite bibliographical monographs in which German scholarship excels; and in all those portions of my Introduction, which deal with the bibliographical aspects of the question, and notably in the pedigree and appendices, I make grateful use of Dr. Kuhn's researches.
I have, however, ventured to differ at times from the conclusions which Dr. Kuhn draws from the elaborate series of scholarly facts which he has brought together in his monograph. In the second of my Appendices I have been able to add here and there some further references beyond those collected by Dr. Kuhn, while I may flatter myself that I have arranged all that he has collected in a form more easy of access to the folk-lore student. I have endeavoured to separate the scaffolding of scholarship from the goodly fabric which the results of recent research has erected with some toil. But in order to do this I have been obliged to relegate to the Appendices several good stories and apologues which I can recommend to the reader. It has been my aim to bring within moderate compass a concise statement of the results already reached about this remarkable legend, with full bibliographical references to the critical discussions, where the student can find exact details on the many points of interest or obscurity with which the whole subject bristles.

JOSEPH JACOBS.
P.S.—Through the kindness of Mr. J. S. Cotton, I have been enabled to see a work on Barlaam published at Calcutta in 1895 as a text-book in English. It contains, curiously enough, the Caxton Barlaam which I have also given. It has besides a reprint of the three Middle English legends edited by Dr. Horstmann, as well as an eighteenth century tract. The editor, Dr. K. S. Macdonald, is unacquainted with Kuhn's researches, and devotes his Introduction mainly to disproving the possibility of Christianity having been influenced by Buddhism.
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Buddha and Christ, it may be said, represent the two highest planes which the religious consciousness of mankind has hitherto reached. Each in his way represents the Ideal of a whole Continent. The aim of Asia has always been To Be, the aim of Europe, To Do. The contemplative Sage is the highest ideal of Asia. Europe pins its faith to the beneficent Saint. Both Ideals, to a modern and decadent world, have lost some of their attractiveness. For Sage we are inclined to read Prig and Bore, and it is considered an appropriate fate for the good young man that he should die early. There is a sense of pose in the attitude of any one who nowadays would set up as Saint or Sage, which irritates us moderns, who do nothing if we do not pose. Besides, the trail of profes-

x
sionalism is over us all, and the Professional Saint (Cleric or Philanthropist), or Professional Sage (Thinker or Professor), is an abomination. Yet while Virtue and Wisdom remain goals of human striving, the Ideals of Christ and the Buddha must retain their attraction.

Diverse as are the aims of the Christian and of the Buddhistic schemes, their methods are remarkably similar. They have a common enemy in what is known in Christian parlance as the World. The pleasures of the senses and the pride of power are the chief forces which deflect men from the paths of Wisdom and of Virtue. Till the New Man comes, who shall synthesise all four Ideals, the Christian-Buddhist plan of Renunciation must remain the necessary prerequisite of salvation.

The similarity of the two schemes extends far beyond their general plan. The legend of the founders presents a remarkable set of parallels—the Annunciation, the Massacre of the Innocents, the Temptation in the Wilderness, the Marriage at Cana, the Walking on the Water, the Transfiguration, find *

* The most recent enumeration of these parallels is by Dr. Carus in the Monist, October, 1894. Many of them
parallels more or less close in the Legend of the Buddha.

Both taught by parables, and in several instances the subject of their parables is the same (Sower: Prodigal Son: Seed and Soil). Much of their teaching is similar. The stress laid on the spirit as against the letter, the opposition between riches and spirituality, the inwardness of purity, are equally insisted upon in both systems. The formation of a Brotherhood or Church has been in each case the cause of giving permanent effect to the ideals of the founders, and as is well known even the external* cultus have many points of contact.

It is natural that such marked similarities should give rise to thoughts of the dependence of the later Christian on the earlier Buddhistic system. There was fully time since Alexander's visit to India for some knowledge of Buddhism to percolate Syria. Just as Jesus was entering

are discussed in an apologetic sense by Dr. T. S. Berry in the "Donnellan Lectures," Christianity and Buddhism (S. P. C. K.).

* The Jesuit missionaries in Tibet were astonished to find many minute similarities between the religious ceremonies of the Lama and of the Pope.
upon his public career, a Buddhistic Sage from India created a great sensation throughout the Hellenistic world by causing himself to be burnt alive at Athens (Strabo, XV. i. 73).* And the fame of this self-immolation must have reached Judea, for Josephus refers to it in a speech which he, following the example of Livy, put in the mouth of Eleazar (Wars, VII. viii. 7). But it must be confessed that no other evidence can be adduced of the actual spread of Buddhistic doctrines in Western Asia, and the whole case for the dependence of Christianity on Buddhism would have to be solved on Folklore principles. In other words, till Folklore has become so much of a Science as to be able to discriminate between foreign and independent origin, this question must remain an open one.

But there is one piece of evidence, though of much later date, which has at least a reflex bearing on the question. If we can show that in the fifth or sixth century Buddhistic legends and doctrines percolated as far at least as Syria, and there became inextricably combined with Christian dogmas and legends, it becomes

* See my Bidpai, p. xlviii.
more probable that a similar mixture of Buddhism and Judaism had taken place in Babylon or Syria in the first century. Such evidence is afforded, as is now well known, by the Legend of SS. Barlaam and Josaphat, which, beside being one of the curiosities of literature, is thus seen to be of considerable theological importance.
I

THE GREEK BARLAAM

In the great Menology of the Greek Church, under date August 26, stands the entry [μνήμη] τοῦ ὁσίου Ἰωάσαφ, νιῶν Ἀβενηρ τοῦ βασιλέως.

In the Martyrologium Romanum, under date November 27, stands the entry, "Apud Indos Persis finitimos (commemoratio) sanctorum Barlaam et Josaphat, quorum actos mirandos sanctus Joannes Damascenus conscripsit."

When these entries came into the respective martyrologies is somewhat difficult to say. In the Greek Church it was not till after the tenth century, for the Menology of the Emperor Basilius contains no reference to Joasaph. In the Romish martyrologies the first mention of Josaphat among the Saints was in the Catologus Sanctorum of Peter de Natalibus (ob. 1370). It may be conjectured in the latter case that Barlaam and Josaphat owed their
inclusion in the saintly calendar, as in the "Golden Legend" of Jacobus de Voragine, to the popularity of the parables which, as we shall see, were connected with their name. But in any case, the ultimate source of each entry is to be found in the life of the two Saints, attributed to St. John of Damascus. In fact, the Roman martyrology in the form given to it by the great Baronius, and just quoted, expressly states that fact.

It must not be supposed that the inclusion of these names in the lists of the Saints is of equal validity with the formal process known as "Canonisation." It is usually stated in summing up the inquiries on which we are about to enter that "Buddha has been canonised as a Saint of the Catholic Church," and much searching of heart has been caused to earnest Catholics by this statement. But M. Cosquin has conclusively shown in a special article devoted to the subject (Revue des Questions Historiques, October, 1880) that there is all the difference in the world between the two processes. Inclusion in the calendar only implies a verdict similar to that of a magistrate's court or a Grand Jury; a prima facie
case for sainthood has been made out. Before canonisation can be obtained, the searching cross-examination of the *Avvocato del Diavolo* must be triumphantly sustained. Modern scholarship has acted the part of the Devil's Advocate with the result that the next edition of the Roman Martyrology will not, in all probability, contain the names of Barlaam and Josaphat.

But that these mysterious personages have been regarded by clergy and laity as veritable Saints of the Church, there can be no doubt. Sir Henry Yule visited a church at Palermo dedicated "Divo Josaphat."* In 1571 the Doge Luigi Mocenigo presented to King Sebastian of Portugal a bone and part of the spine of St. Josaphat. When Spain seized Portugal in 1580 these sacred treasures were removed by Antonio, the Pretender to the Portuguese crown, and ultimately found their way to Antwerp. On August 7, 1672, a grand procession defiled through the streets of Antwerp, carrying to the cloister of St. Salvator the holy remains of St. Josaphat. There, for ought

* It is, however, just possible that this refers to a Polish saint of that name of the seventeenth century.
I know to the contrary, they remain to the present day.

But while Catholic Christendom had no doubt as to the reality of these Saints, Catholic scholarship was by no means positive as to the authorship of the Legend of the Saints. The Greek MSS. attributed it to "John, Monk of the Convent of St. Saba," or St. Sinai. It is only in the latest MSS. that this Monk John is directly identified with John of Damascus, a somewhat distinguished theologian of the eighth century. He was the only ecclesiastical writer of the name of John to whom the book could be attributed, and scholarship, like Nature herself, abhors a vacuum. And so the book of Barlaam and Joasaph has been included among the works of John of Damascus ever since his editors have collected them together. Yet they have not been without their doubts, and they always felt themselves obliged to defend the inclusion of the book. One of his editors indeed, Lequien, went so far as to exclude it altogether from the authentic works. The whole question has been carefully threshed out by M. Zotenberg in his Notice sur le Livre de Barlaam
(Paris, 1886). He may fairly be said to have disposed of the claims of John of Damascus. He points out that the style of the book is superior in purity, correctness, and richness to that of the recognised works of John of Damascus. The defenders of the authorship had pointed to similarities of doctrine in ecclesiastical matters in the Barlaam and in the recognised writings of John of Damascus. M. Zotenberg in his case traces the similarity to a common source. Apart, however, from these negative arguments, M. Zotenberg has, by a careful scrutiny of the theology of Barlaam, arrived at an ingenious crucial difference between the views expressed in the book and those known to be held by John of Damascus. Each decade of the earlier centuries of Christianity can be distinguished by its fashionable heresy. The years 620–38 were dominated in Christian theology by the discussion of the exact relations of the human and divine Will in Christ. I do not profess to understand the minutiae of the discussion, and my readers will probably be grateful to me if I profess the heresy of Lord Dundreary with regard to it. But it seems that the Christian world
of the East was divided between Dyotheletism, which recognised two Wills in Christ, and Monotheletism, which fuses the two into one. The decisive moment in the controversy came in 633, when Cyrus of Alexandria promulgated his Nine Articles, by which Monotheletism became incumbent on the orthodox. Now the book of Barlaam is distinctly Dyotheletic in tendency, and by this subtle means we are therefore led by M. Zotenberg to the conclusion that its date must be anterior at least to the year 633. On the other hand, a terminus a quo is given for the book by the fact that the Convent of St. Saba was refounded by that Saint in the year 491.

M. Zotenberg went a step further in determining the age of the book by a careful examination of the historical background involved in it. The Religions of the world are stated to be three: Idolatry, Judaism, Christianity. Hence M. Zotenberg infers that the book was written previous to the marvellous spread of Islam in the seventh century. And in the particular form of Idolatry professed by Abenner, King of the Indians and father of Joasaph, clear reference is to be found in the tenets of
Mazdeism under the later Sassanides of Persia. The idolaters are spoken of in the book as Chaldeans, and their faith as worship of the elements. There is a Chief of the Magi referred to, whose relations with the King of the "Indians" exactly corresponds to the position of the supreme Mobed in the Sassanide Kingdom. Grappling more closely with his subject, M. Zotenbergen then points out common traits of Abenner and Chosroes the Great of Persia (531–79). Both kings are distinguished by their devotion to duty and to the national faith, and at the same time by their interest in, and inquiries about, competing creeds. It is besides a remarkable fact that Anushzad, son of Chosroes, was imprisoned for a rebellion, for which the motive was mainly religious. Finally, the great disputation between the Magi and the Christians in the Barlaam finds a remarkable parallel in a similar public disputation held circa 525 before Kobad, King of Persia, and his son Chosroes. To these arguments of M. Zotenbergen, connecting Barlaam with the reign of Chosroes, I would add the fact that it is with his reign that a well-founded tradition associates the first stage
westward of the *Fables of Bidpai*, which have so many points of similarity with the Parables of Barlaam.

M. Zotenberg's arguments with regard to the Greek text and its date have been recently reinforced by two remarkable discoveries that have been made with regard to its sources. One of the striking episodes of the book is where Nachor is made to take the place of the holy hermit Barlaam, with the intention that he should make a feeble defence of Christianity in a public disputation between the two faiths which is about to be held before the wavering Josaphat. Nachor is accordingly about to play the part of a "bonnet" or confederate when he is forced by Josaphat to play fair, and accordingly delivers a glowing defence of the Christian Religion which routs his opponents. Changing the venue, the incident might have been taken from one of Capt. Hawley Smart's novels.

It would seem that Nachor either distrusted his own abilities, or had not time to get up his case, for a recent discovery has shown that he unblushingly borrowed the whole of his defence from an earlier *Apologia*. Among the treasures of early patristic literature which have been
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discovered of recent years at the Monastery of Mount Sinai is a Syriac version of the *Apologetic* of Aristides. This was a second century treatise in defence of the faith, supposed to be addressed to the Emperor Hadrian. Eusebius appears to be the last person who had seen it, and it was supposed to have been irrevocably lost when Professor Rendell Harris printed and translated the Syriac version of it, which he had discovered on Mount Sinai. His friend, Prof. J. Armitage Robinson,* recollected that he had seen something very like it in *Barlaam and Josaphat*, and on comparing the two it was clear that the Greek Barlaam preserved a very large proportion of the original text.

This remarkable discovery naturally set theologians on the search for other traces of early Christian literature in the *Barlaam*, and sure enough, in another portion of the book, a sort of early Divine Comedy, Prof. Armitage Robinson discovered a direct "crib" from the Vision

* Prof. Robinson’s discovery was made known in the first fascicule of the Cambridge *Texts and Studies*. A useful reconstruction of the text from the Greek and from the Syriac and Armenian versions has been recently produced by E. Hennecke as part of Gebhardt and Harnack’s *Texte und Untersuchungen* (iv. 3, 1893).
of Saturus in the Passio S. Perpetua,* while other portions seem to show acquaintance with the still more recently discovered Apocalypse of Peter. Finally, it has been also observed that parts of the Greek Barlaam are derived from the Scheda Regia of Agapetus, finished in July 527. As there are no traces of the continued existence of the earlier works later than the sixth century, and as Agapetus' work had not much vogue for more than the few years after its appearance, it is clear that we can fix the date of the Greek Barlaam within a few years ± 600 A.D.†

* This forms the second fascicule of the Texts and Studies.
† Mr. F. C. Conybeare informs me that he is inclined to date the Greek text later, owing to references occurring in it to the Iconoclastic controversy.
II

The Oriental Versions

In arriving at some result as to the date of the Greek text we have certainly got to a station on the line of tradition which, as we shall see later, branches off in all directions right throughout Europe. But it remains to be seen whether this station is a terminus, a starting-point from which the train of tradition leaves with more or less of punctuality, or merely a junction towards which many of the branch lines converge. Even if we decided that the Greek text was a terminus a quo with regard to written tradition, we might have still to investigate whether its contents had not been brought to the Greek-speaking world by the mouths of men, and there transferred from the pack saddles of oral tradition to the broad gauge of literature.

The first of these questions to be settled is
clearly whether the Barlaam exists in an earlier literary form than the Greek text. At first sight Prof. Robinson's discoveries would seem to settle that question in a most decisive way. If the Greek text contains, as integral portions, slices of earlier Greek, it is almost impossible that these could have been introduced in the text except in a Greek form. And indeed, if the quotations from the Apology of Aristides and other early Christian texts were essential portions of the romance, the originality of its Greek form would be established beyond question. But these are clearly excrescences which could be removed or replaced without much derangement of the main plan, and we must look about to see if any versions exist which do not contain them.

Several such versions have been discovered in quite recent years. An Arabic one, running to no less than 286 pages, was printed in Bombay under the title Kitâb Balauhar wa- Baddasaph in 1889, while Dr. Hommel printed another Arabic version at the Vienna Oriental Congress.* Again, Dr. Steinschneider many

* Hommel’s version was translated by Mr. E. Rehatsek in the Journal of the Roy. Asiat. Soc., xxii. 119-55. A
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years ago discovered that one of the best known didactic poems in Mediaeval Jewish literature, The Prince and the Dervish, by Abraham ibn Chisdai, was undoubtedly a version of the Barlaam legend. Lastly, a Mr. Marr has discovered and (partly) published a Georgian version of the legend under the title Mudrost' Balavara, or, "The Wisdom of Balavar." Almost any of these versions might be, or represent, the original form of the legend, and the present stage of Barlaam criticism is concerned with their relative antiquity and independence. Among these high summits of Oriental scholarship it is customary to tie oneself to the latest German* in order to avoid falling into the many crevasses in the path. In accordance with this custom I attach myself to Dr. Kuhn.

The most startling suggestion that has been made with regard to these recent discoveries of Oriental versions has been, that the Greek text was a translation from the Georgian. Baron von Rosen, in a review of M. Zoten-

* But what if the latest German himself tumbles?
berg's book, brought forward a couple of pieces of evidence which at first sight seemed conclusive, coming as they do from such different sources, where there could be no question of collusion. Two of the MSS. of the Greek text (Nanianus 137, Paris, 1771) attributed the translation into Greek to a St. Euthymius. Now in a Grusinian life of St. Euthymius it is stated of him that he had translated Balavari and Alukura, and some other books from Georgian into Greek. Taking these two statements into connection with the fact that an ancient Georgian version of the Barlaam legend has been published by Marr, in which Barlaam goes by the name of Balavar, the conclusion seems almost forced upon us that this is the legend from which the Greek had been translated by Euthymius.

But further research and reflection prove that this conclusion is precipitate, even though Baron von Rosen and Professor Hommel have adopted it. The two Greek codices come from Mount Athos, where the tradition about St. Euthymius may be merely a bit of chauvinistic bluster, and is, at any rate, 400 years later than the composition of the Greek text.
Finally, the Georgian text differs widely from the Greek, and cannot therefore have been its original: while the unique MS. that contains it attributes it to "Sophron of Palestine, the son of Isaac." Things are not always what they seem when scholarly hypotheses are about.

Dismissing thus the Grusinian version out of the purview, there remain the various Arabic versions, and the Hebrew one, to assist us in our search after the Urquelle. And first with regard to the Arabic versions: considerable light is thrown by various references made in the Kitāb al-Fihrist, a sort of Arabic Lowndes or Brunet. This contains references in various places to no less than four books that may possibly have influenced the Barlaam literature. (a) A Buddha book, Kitāb al-Budd. (b) A Kitāb Yuddāṣaf wa-Balauhar. (c) A book of "Yudasaf alone" and (d) a poem of Aban ibn Abdal-Hamid (ob. 822), with the same title as (b). Excluding the last, which is no longer in existence, and can only have been of secondary importance, it seems clear that there existed a double set of books in Arabic, one dealing directly with Buddha
and his legend, the other placing Balauhar by his side.

Leaving for a moment the book of Buddha, of which I fancy I have found traces, one has to settle the question of the relationship of the Georgian, Greek, and Arabic versions. From Appendix I., in which their variations are noted, it will be observed that the Georgian agrees with the Arabic version with regard to the original order of the parables: while, on the other hand, it agrees in omitting certain portions with the Greek, and in the conclusion of the story. Kuhn, accordingly, represents the relationship by the following genealogical tree:

```
X.  
    |  
    Arabic.  Y.  
        |  
    Georgian.  Greek.  
```

I have small Arabic and less Georgian, and I must therefore tread warily on this aspect of the question. But there seems to be one conclusive piece of evidence against the pedigree suggested by Dr. Kuhn. There can be no
doubt what the unknown quantity $Y$ is, as regards the Greek version. Syriac was the main conduit pipe through which the treasures of Greek literature debouched on to the Orient, and inversely, it was mainly through Syriac versions that Oriental treasures were added to Greco-Byzantine literature: and we have special reason for saying, as we shall soon see, that Syriac was the immediate source of the Greek version. But that the Georgian also derived from that language, as Dr. Kuhn suggests, the only external confirmation of the suggestion he can give, is its alleged authorship by Sophron of Palestine. Against it, and as I think, obviously against it, is the title of the Georgian version, which connects it with an Arabic, and disconnects it from a Syrian source.

Proper names are the _feu follet_ of the etymologist, but the Pole Star of the literary historian; the one has to guess at their inner meaning, the other can follow the changes in their outer form. There can be no doubt how and why the name Barlaam got into the Greek version, instead of the form Balauhar, found in the recently discovered Oriental ones. Barlaam
was an Antioch worthy of the early fourth century, who is referred to by SS. Basil and Chrysostom in their homilies; a church was erected to his honour in Edessa, 411 A.D. * Outside Syria he was unknown, and his name must have been introduced in the Syriac version from its accidental similarity with the Balauhar of the Arabic version. Now, if the Georgian had been derived from the Syriac, it would surely have retained the form, Barlaam, instead of keeping, as it has, to the Arabic form, Balauhar. Considering, too, that the order of the parables are the same in the Georgian and Arabic versions, I think there can be little doubt that it was derived from the Arabic, and the variations at the end may have been due to later modifications of the Bombay Arabic text, intended to modify its Christianizing tendencies.

There is still another form in which the Buddha legend got into Syriac. Professor Hommel has already suggested that the earlier part of the legend of St. Alexis, in which that saint flees from wife and child in order to embrace the

* Hommel gives these details in Weisslovits, t.41.
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hermit’s life, is simply a Christian adaptation of the Great Renunciation of Siddartha the Buddha. The late M. Amiaud, who studied the Greek forms of the legend, *La Légende Syriaque de S. Alexis*, Paris, 1889, came to the conclusion that it was written c. 450–75, originally without any name being attached to it, and without the second part, dealing with an impossible journey to “Rome,” probably Constantinople. Curiously enough, in this early version the anonymous “holy man” is represented to have died at Edessa, 412, the very date within a year when the Church was dedicated to St. Barlaam. As this early life is solely devoted to the Great Renunciation, and was originally anonymous, I venture to suggest that it was derived directly or indirectly from the original of the Buddha book (*Kitâb al-Budd*), mentioned in the *Fihrist*. Whether the relation of the Alexis legend to the Church of St. Barlaam was accidental or not, it is indeed curious that the name of this otherwise unknown saint has become connected with both the Syriac forms of the Buddha legend.

Reverting to these given above, we have still
to determine the unknown quantity $X$ in Kuhn's pedigree. Here we are helped by the other name in our title. Yudasaph is frequently referred to elsewhere in Arabic literature as the founder of an Indian ascetic religion. The same name is found written Budasaph, with merely the change of a diacritical point. Reinaud was the first to suggest that the latter variant was simply a form of Bodhisattva, the technical term in Buddhistic literature for the man who is destined to become a Buddha. But where and how did Bodhisattva become Bodasaph? Obviously in Persia, where the ending *asp* is a favourite one for proper names.

Another name confirms this result in a most instructive way. When the young prince, in the story, goes out for the first time into the world and sees some of its misery, he is accompanied by his teacher, whose name is Zardan in the Greek, Zandani in the Georgian version. There is little doubt that these forms are ultimately to be derived from Chandaka, the Buddha's charioteer. The variation of the Greek and Georgian forms can only be ex-
plained by their derivation from a script in which \( n \) and \( r \) are indistinguishable. This occurs in the Pehlevi alphabet, and not in the Syriac: so I am again confirmed in my dissent from Dr. Kuhn's* view, that the Georgian was derived from the Syriac version. The Georgian form, Zandani, is at least a step closer to India. Incidentally the name tells us from what part of India the legend was derived. Among the Buddhists of Southern India the Master's charioteer is known as Channa, among those of the North he has the fuller name, Chandaka. By the presence of the \( d \) in the Georgian and Greek forms we learn that their source is to be found, as was to be expected, among the Northern Buddhists.

We still have to determine the relations of the

* The sentence in which Dr. Kuhn states the above facts, with the requisite references, fills seventeen lines of his Memoir, pp. 34-5, and includes no less than 230 words. It is in other respects a model German scientific sentence, and I would have quoted it as a warning example, but that I owe so much to Dr. Kuhn, and feel that its clumsiness is not personal to him, but merely characteristic of the want of consideration for their readers shown by German scientific writers.
three various Arabic texts which are still extant, with that of the Hebrew version of Abraham ibn Chisdai (ob. 1240). The difficulty here is put at once by the opening words of ibn Chisdai's version: "Thus saith the translator from the Greek into Arabic." Besides the rarity of such direct translations, without the intermediation of Syriac, there is the further difficulty that the Hebrew version does not entirely agree, either in order or in contents, with any of the Arabic texts at present accessible. It comes nearer to the Halle MS., but that on the face of it is only an extract. Professor Hommel, and his pupil, Dr. Weissovit, claim for the Hebrew version a closer relationship with the Pehlevi original than is the case with the Greek: and though Dr. Kuhn seems opposed to the claim, it would seem to be confirmed by the agreement of the Hebrew with the order of the parables in the Arabic texts, which again agrees with that of the Georgian to which we have assigned a closer relationship to the Pehlevi version.

The following table of Professor Hommel will indicate this:—
The order of the parables is here the same in the Hebrew, Arabic, and Georgian, while that of the Greek varies considerably. The absence of the last three parables in Hebrew and Arabic is simply due to the fact that they do not contain anything subsequent to the parting with Barlaam. But the variation of order in the Greek text against the unanimity of the other three versions seems to me conclusive against the mediate derivation of the Hebrew or Arabic from the Greek, which may there-

* Wanting in the Halle MS.
† This occurs only in the Georgian version, but has analogies with similar tales in the Katha Sarit Sagara, in which the lustful disposition of woman is insisted upon.
fore for the present be set aside in our journey to the *fons et origo* of the whole literature.

Thus far we are led to the conclusion that this original was in Pehlevi, and on this point there is, practically, unanimity among recent investigators. But the book, on the face of it, is propagandist, and the question arises, what religion was it whose interests it was composed to further? Dr. Kuhn declares for a Christian author, but on very slight grounds, as it seems to me. True, there is a certain amount of evidence for the existence of a Christian Pehlevi literature.* True, the Nestorian Church was firmly established in East Iran. The possibility, therefore, of the Christian manipulation of the Buddha legend in that district cannot be denied. But the Barlaam book in its Pehlevi form had very little theological tendency. The theologisms of the Greek text are excrescences, and are peculiar to that version. The only trace of Christian influence to which Dr. Kuhn can point, is the parable of *The Sower*, to which, curiously enough, there are strong Buddhistic parallels (Carus, *Gospel

of Buddha. I cannot think that any work, written with the express view of the propagation of the faith, would be so singularly free from all dogmatic colouring.

The existence of the Hebrew version confirms me in my belief that the original work was not intended, or regarded, as specifically religious, or, at any rate, theological. Its teaching is ascetic, it is true, but all religions have a touch of asceticism. It was for the sake of its parables, not for its theology, that the book was taken up, equally by Moslem, Jewish, and Christian writers. Now the Hebrew version is much fuller in its parables, containing no less than ten* not found in the other versions. Of these, four at least can be traced back to India (Bird and Angel, The Power of Love, Language of Animals, and Robbers’ Nemesis). I see no reason, therefore, why we should not go behind the Pehlevi and look for the original in its complete form, as we can certainly trace it in its elements, to India itself.

* Bird and Angel, c. ix.; Cannibal King, c. xii.; The Good Physician, c. xiv.; King and Pious Shepherd: Oasis and Garden, c. xvi.; The Hungry Bitch, c. xvii.; The Power of Love, c. xviii.; Eel and Dog, c. xxiii.; The Language of Animals, c. xxiv.; The Robbers’ Nemesis.
In short, I regard the literary history of the Barlaam literature as completely parallel with that of the Fables of Bidpai. Originally Buddhist books, both lost their specifically Buddhist traits before they left India, and made their appeal, by their parables, more than by their doctrines. Both were translated into Pehlevi in the reign of Chosroes, and from that watershed floated off into the literatures of all the great creeds. In Christianity alone, characteristically enough, one of them, the Barlaam book, was surcharged with dogma and turned to polemical uses, with the curious result that Buddha became one of the champions of the Church. To divest the Barlaam-Buddha of this character, and see him in his original form, we must take a further journey and seek him in his home beyond the Himalayas.
The Portuguese historian, Diogo do Conto, in describing the exploits of his nation in Asia, in 1612, had occasion to speak of Buddha, or the Budão, as he called him. After recounting his legend, he goes on to say: "With reference to this story, we have been delayed in inquiring if the ancient Gentiles of those parts had in their writings any knowledge of Josaphat, who was converted by Barlaam, who in his legend is represented as the son of a great king in India, who had just the same up-bringing, with all the same particulars that we have recounted of the life of the Budão . . . and as it informs us that he was the son of a great king in India, it may well be, as we have said, that he was the Budão of whom they relate such marvels."* (Decada

* The late Sir Henry Yule drew attention to this remarkable anticipation of modern research in the Academy
Thus, almost as soon as the Western world got to know anything of the Buddha, the remarkable resemblance of his legend and that of St. Josaphat was observed, but no note was taken of do Conto's hint for two centuries and a half, when M. Laboulaye, quite independently, drew attention to the Buddhistic origin of the Barlaam legend in the Journal des Debats of the 26th July 1859.* Laboulaye's discovery was clinched by Felix Liebrecht in a paper on the sources of Barlaam and Josaphat (Jahrbuch, f. Rom. Lit. 1860, 314-34).† Since the appearance of that striking memoir, no doubt has ever existed in any one's mind, who has examined the question of the legend of St. Joasaph, that it was simply and solely derived from the legend of Buddha. Indeed, if we put the two legends side by side, as M. Cosquin has done (Contes de Lorraine, pp. xlix. seq.), their close resemblance, if not identity, is of 1st Sept. 1883. He repeats the information in his Marco Polo, ii. 308.

* Dr. Steinschneider had suspected the Indian origin nine years before in ZDMG, v. 91.
† Reprinted in his Zur Volkskunde, 1879, pp. 441-60.
“proved by inspection,” as the mathematicians say.

JOASAPH.

Abenner, King of India, persecutes the Christians. He has a beautiful son, named Joasaph. An Astrologer reveals to the King that he will become a Christian.

The King builds a magnificent palace in a remote district, in which he places his son, and surrounds him by those who are ordered never to speak of the miseries of this life, of sickness, poverty, old age, or death.

When Joasaph is grown up he asks permission to go outside the palace. On his way he sees a leper and a blind man. He asks what is the cause of their appearance. He is told that it is due to illnesses caused by the corruption of the humours, and learns that every man is liable to similar evils. He becomes sad and distressed.

Shortly afterwards, Joa-

BUDDHA.

Suddhodana, King of Kapilavastu, in India, has a beautiful son, who is called Siddharta. The Brahmins predict that he will become a Hermit.

The King builds three palaces for his son—one for the Spring, one for the Summer, and one for the Winter. Each palace is surrounded by five hundred Guards. The Prince desires one day to visit their garden. The King orders everything to be removed that could indicate the existence of misery.

Going out of the South Gate of his palace the Prince sees on the footpath a sick man burning with fever, breathing heavily, and emaciated. Learning from his charioteer the cause of this, the Prince exclaims, “How can man think of joy and pleasure when such things exist!” and turning back his chariot he re-enters the palace.

Another day, going out
JOASAPH.

saph on another excursion comes across an old man, bent double, with tottering steps, white hair, wrinkled visage, and toothless gums. He asks his attendants what this means. They tell him it is due to old age. "And what will be the end of it all?" he asks. "No other than death," they reply. "And is that the end of all men?" asks the Prince, and learns that sooner or later death comes to all men. From that day the Prince is plunged in thinking to himself, "One day death will carry me off too; shall I be swallowed up into nothing? Or is there another life, or another world?"

BUDDHA.

of the East Gate, he comes across an old man, decrepit, wrinkled, bent, and tottering, with white hairs. "Who is this man?" he asks. "And why does he look so strange? Is he of some peculiar species of men? Or do all men become like that?" His charioteer replies, "This man's appearance is due to his age, and all men become like him when they are old." The Prince orders his charioteer to turn back, saying, "If such an old age awaits me, what have I to do with pleasure and joy?"

Going out another time by the West Gate, he sees a dead man on a bier, his relatives mourning round him. He learns what death is, and cries out, "Wretched youth, that old age can destroy! Wretched health, that so many maladies can destroy! Wretched life where man remains for so short a time!"

The Hermit Barlaam ap-
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JOASAPH.
pears under disguise to Joasaph, tells him of Christianity, and converts him. After the departure of Bar-lam, Joasaph tries to lead the life of a Hermit in his palace.

BUDDHA.
goes out by the North Gate, when he sees a Bhikshu, calm and reserved, with cast-down eyes, carrying an alms-dish. He asks what sort of man this is, and is told that he is an Ascetic, who has renounced all passion and ambition, and lives on charity. "It is well," says Siddharta; "I have found the clue to the miseries of life." And once more he returns to the palace.

The King tries every means to turn Joasaph from the true faith, but in vain.

After this exercise on the parallel bars there can be no doubt of the identity of Josaphat and Buddha. As we have already seen, their very names are the same, for Josaphat is only the Roman spelling for Yosaphat, this again being a confusion between the Biblical Jehos-haphat and the Greek form Joasaph. This is directly derived from the Arabic; it is a contracted form of Yodasaph,* which is a mis-

* Kuhn explains it is as a misspelling, ΙΩΔΑΣΑΦ for ΙΩΔΑΣΑΦ.
reading for Bodasaph, since ɣ and ɮ in Arabic are only distinguished by a diacritical point. As we have already seen, Bodasaph is directly derived, through the Pehlevi, from Bodhisattva, the technical title of the man who is destined to attain Buddhahood, a description that exactly applies to the career of Josaphat. The very name, therefore, of the hero implies a conscious Buddhistic tendency in the original form of the legend, and tells against Dr. Kuhn's contention for a Pehlevi Christian original.

It is also probable that the first name in our title can also be traced back to India, but on the exact form, which was the original, learned opinion is not at present united: and a mere reporter, like myself, can only put the conflicting claims before the reader and allow him to take his choice. We have seen that Barlaam is merely a Syriac substitute for Balauvar. Dr. Kuhn points out, that in the Zend alphabet ɣ and ɮ are almost identical, while we have already seen that ɣ and ɮ might easily mistake themselves for one another. Consequently, this pundit suggests * Bhagavan is the real original

* When I was at Cambridge, the boat of the Non-Collegiate students was generally known as the Non Coll.
of baluhrar. Unfortunately, he leaves us in the dark as to what Bhagavan means or implies. It is, of course, one of the titles given to the Buddha. Baron von Rosen, on the other hand, identifies Baluhrar with an Arabic word, balahvar, used by the Arabic lexicographers to designate an Indian king. The reader will not be surprised to learn that the Arabic word is a simple adaptation of the Sanskrit bhataraka. Both suggestions seem to me almost equally far-fetched. But the human mind is incapable of remaining in a state of suspension à la Buridan. De Morgan said that he found most people had a decided view on the question whether platythliptic coefficients were positive or negative.* Similarly, if one has to make a choice, Dr. Kuhn's Boat. One day it suddenly made its appearance as the Heron. The whole University was puzzled at the change, till a budding philologist remarked casually, "Of course, they are the same. 'Non Coll.' becomes by transposition 'Coll-on,' and this by metathesis of l and r becomes 'choron.' Aspiration of the initial consonant changes it to 'choron,' which, again, by weakening of the aspirate and vernerising the vowel, becomes 'Heron.' Thus 'Non-Coll' = 'Heron.' Q.E.D."

* We are getting more modest nowadays. I have fired off this query at most of my friends, who persist in spoiling De Morgan's point by asking, "What are platythliptic coefficients?"
suggestion seems to have more for it than Baron von Rosen's. For there is little doubt that, as a matter of fact, Barlaam is himself a variant of the Buddha, and thus a doublet of Josaphat. For Barlaam's speeches give very often the Buddhistic doctrine in the Buddha's own words: so that, in the last resort, our fable tells of the conversion of the man destined to be Buddha by a man who has already attained Buddhahood, and the title, "Barlaam and Josaphat," would adequately indicate the subject to Indian ears in the form Bhagavan Bodhisattvascha.* We get the same doubling in the Buddha legend when the Buddha converts to his doctrines a rich merchant's son named Yasoda,† who has himself performed the Great Renunciation, and whose history is therefore obviously a variant of the Buddha's.

We have seen that other names still retain traces of their Indian origin. Josaphat's tutor,

* I have to thank my young friend, Master Leonard Magnus, for my knowledge how to conjoin two Sanskrit words. If there is anything incorrect, I must have misunderstood his instructions. I would add that Marco Polo's title for the Buddha "Sagamoni Borcar" = Sakya-muni Bhagavan.

† Vesseloysky would identify the name Joasaph with this Yasoda.
Zardan, was, we saw, Buddha's charioteer, Chandaka. Kuhn gives several other examples, chiefly, however, derived from the Arabic version: for the Greek has, in most instances, substituted Biblical, or quasi-Biblical, names for the original. Thus, Josaphat's father, in the Arabic, Janaisar, becomes in the Greek, Abenner (2 Sam. iii. 6). The Rakis of the Arabic appears in double form in the Greek, as Araches and Nachor, the latter being derived from Genesis xi. Similarly, the magician Theudas is derived from Acts v. 37, and has only an accidental resemblance to Devadatta, the Judas of the Buddha legend. But, besides these merely formal proofs of Indian origin derived from the names, there is much internal evidence for the influence of Indian thought. Even the Greek text preserves traces of Buddhistic phraseology, as Dr. Berry has shown. Thus, in the earlier part of the book, where one of the king's nobles takes to the hermit's life, it is said of him "that with noble purpose he purified his senses by fasting and watching, and by the diligent study of sacred articles. And having delivered his soul from every kind of emotion he shone with the
light of dispassionate calm." Again, at the end of the book, when Abenner becomes converted, a great multitude of his people are baptized, "both rulers and civil officers, soldiers and people," a distinct reference to the four castes of India.

But it is especially in the recently printed Bombay text of the Arabic version that we find the clearest and most conclusive proof of the complete identification of Josaphat and Barlaam in the original. Here we find, not alone the Great Renunciation, in which Josaphat, like Buddha, leaves power, wealth, love, and family ties behind him at the dead of night, but even the meditation under the Bo-Tree.* In this version, indeed, the Buddha and his doctrines are especially referred to by name, as "al-Budd," and the dying Budasaph, like the dying Buddha, breathes his last in the arms of his favourite disciple Anand. Dr. Kuhn suggests that these details and references are due to interpolations by the Arabic translators from some of the lost Arabic books relating to Buddha, mentioned in the Fihrist. But this is all conjecture, and is mainly urged by Dr.

* See Abstract of Legend in App. I. xiii.
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Kuhn to support his contention that the original of Barlaam literature was a Pehlevi Christian adaptation of Christian legends. For my part, I cannot see any evidence for any distinctive dogmatic colouring in the original. As is shown by a comparison with the Georgian, the distinctively Christian passages of the Greek version are interpolations peculiar to it (see App. I. vi., viii., ix., xiii.), or at least to its immediate Syriac source. Removing these interpolations, the original is seen to be entirely and characteristically Buddhistic in form and contents, and we cannot imagine such a work originating elsewhere than in India.

On the other hand, it seems likely that none of the Arabic versions represent completely the original Indian source of them all. They omit the veneration of Josaphat's relics, which is a distinct Buddhistic touch, as Liebrecht saw (Zur Volkskunde, 454–5).* The detrition to which the proper names have been subjected in the Arabic text show a long course of transmission, and we cannot, therefore, depend

* Kuhn is therefore mistaken (p. 32) in thinking this an independent interpolation of the common source of the Georgian and Greek version.
implicitly upon it for even an approximate restoration of the Indian original. Yet sufficient remains of this for us to be enabled to come to a tolerably definite conclusion as to the early history of the Barlaam legend before it took its Greek form. That history may be shortly summarised as follows.

During the declining years of Buddhism in India, in the early centuries of our era, attempts were made by the Brahmins to adopt that side of the Buddhistic methods which had proved most attractive, namely, the method of teaching by parables. A number of the most striking of these were adopted by the Brahmins and placed in a beast-tale framework, and formed the Indian original of the Fables of Bidpai. In opposition to this, the Buddhists retold the legend of the Buddha in a form least adapted to arouse Brahmanistic opposition, but equally enriched with the most striking of Buddhistic parables. It recounted the attaining the Buddhahood by a Bodhisattva, or one destined to be a Buddha, owing to the teachings of a Bhagavan, or one who has already attained the Supreme State. This latter book received some such title as *Bhagavan Bodhisattvascha,*
and was the original of our Barlaam. Both of these Buddhistic books were translated into Pehlevi in the reign of Chosroes (531–79 A.D.), and both proved attractive to all the various sects—Buddhistic, Moslem, Nestorian—that found a common point of contact in East Iran. Both were almost immediately translated into Arabic and Syriac, and passed from the latter into almost all the languages of Europe. But the beast-tales of Bidpai were incapable of any dogmatic colouring, and were left unchanged in the European versions. The story of the conversion of the Bodhisattva by the Bhagavan was, on the other hand, admirably adapted for propagandist interpolation and modification, and was therefore transformed by the Greek translator into the legend of St. Barlaam and St. Josaphat, as it afterwards spread through Europe. It was thus the difference of the framework which led to a difference in fate between the Bidpai and the Barlaam legends. But in both cases the attractiveness of the books consisted, not so much in the framework, as in that which it enframed, to which we now turn.
For some reason or other, which has never yet been fully investigated, there is nothing so irritating to humanity, nothing so boring, as the inculcation to morality. Whether it is that we feel instinctively that we know what is right even if we do not do it, and therefore need not be told it, or whether we resent being told by another, who thereby lays claim to greater moral insight than ourselves, the result is certain, nothing makes people feel so wicked as moral exhortations. Nowadays the moralists know this; formerly they only suspected it. So in former days they invented the Parable so as to administer the moral pill in the story jam.

Greece and India, I have shown elsewhere, each invented separately the Fable as a means
of moral or political instruction. * Similarly Judea and India, each probably independently, invented the Parable for the same purpose. Both the Rabbis and the Brahmins found that the best way to point a moral was to adorn a tale. Both Jesus and Buddha adopted the method of their rivals for the purpose of their propaganda. † Especially was this the case with Buddha and his followers. A very large part of the Buddhist Scriptures is taken up by parables, and it is to this source that we can ultimately trace the parables of Barlaam, which, equally with those of Bidpai, may be described as the Parables of Buddha.

And, first, what is a Parable? It is a tale with a double meaning, like the Fable or the Allegory. It is distinguished from the Fable as being told of men, not beasts; from the Allegory, by its shortness and greater directness. The Sunday School definition, "An earthly story with a heavenly meaning," is too

* See Caxton, Æsop, i. p. 209.
† It is characteristic that in his special treatise on the Parables Archbishop Trench treated those of the Rabbis most perfunctorily, though there can be no doubt Jesus learnt the method from them.
restricted, since many parables know nothing of heaven or hell. The Parable is often merely an Example of a moral truth which it is intended to convey, but it should more strictly be defined as a Narrative-Metaphor. As with the Metaphor, the Parable often leads to false reasoning when the analogy is pushed too far.

Whatever their origin, use, or effectiveness, there is no doubt of their popularity among all creeds in the Middle Ages. Brahmins, Rabbis, Monks, and Moolahs all enliven their religious discussions with a seasoning of parables. The illicit joys of tale and gossip were used to evade the *longueurs* of the sermon. In Christendom the fashion chimed in with the vogue for the allegorical interpretation of Scripture, by which its insufficiency was eked out or its inconsistencies overcome. And the fashion spread from the moral sermon to the moral treatise till there was scarcely a mediaeval book of devotion which did not relieve its preternatural dulness by some form or other of the parable. Perhaps the most favourite source for these *divertissements* was the Legend of Barlaam and Josaphat, which, in a way,
forms the centre of the whole literature. Its parables, therefore, form a type of a whole literary movement in Europe and Asia, and to them we may now turn.

Taking all the earliest versions of the Barlaam Legend, the Arabic, Georgian, Hebrew, and Greek, there appear to be some three dozen parables contained in them. But, as is the case with more important gospels, those of Barlaam are not entirely synoptic. Some of the parables appear in all forms, and of these we may be sure all could be traced back to India. Others again appear but in two or three of these versions, while a considerable number only make their appearance in one version, e.g., the Hebrew or the Bombay Arabic. I have told them all in Appendix II., and given the details of their occurrences in the earliest versions of Barlaam, as well as the history of their spread outside the specifically Barlaam literature. Here I propose treating of them more generally in the first place, and then descanting at greater length on a few of the parables which happen to be of exceptional interest from their widespread or their important derivates.
Of the thirty-one parables contained in Appendix II., nine occur in all the earlier versions, six occur in two or more of them, while sixteen have found their way to only one version. Of the first class, six can be traced to India; of the second, two; and of the third, seven. It does not, therefore, appear that any very certain proof of existence in the original Barlaam is shown by the absence or presence of traceable Indian parallels. Indeed, no mechanical and external test can enable us to judge whether any special parable came with Barlaam from India. Even where, as in some of the parables, especially to the Hebrew version, an Indian original has been found, it by no means follows that the parable in question, though ultimately derived from India, necessarily came into the Hebrew version from some form of the Barlaam Legend. Thus it would be premature to assume, e.g., that the story known as The Language of Animals first began its travels through the ages and the climes in connection with the Legend of Barlaam-Buddha. The spread of these parables, extensive as it is, throws but little light on the diffusion of folktales properly so called. In almost every case the spread has been by
means of literary, not oral, tradition. Those that occur in the Greek version were translated into Latin, and were then utilised as Exempla, or seasoning for sermons. And it was from this source, if at all, that they became current among the folk. In the discussion about the diffusion of popular literature the question of Indian origin has to be treated separately, according to the character of the tales involved. These may be divided into four classes: fables, parables, stories of the wiles of women, and folktales. As far as the evidence goes at present, it would seem that the first two classes were transmitted by literary colportage, while the second two have passed from East to West, from mouth to mouth.*

Of the wide spread which many of these parables of Barlaam reached, ample evidence is given in Appendix II. Though the references there are put in the shortest and, I fear, most

* Hence it is that M. Bédier, in his ingenious work on the Fabliaux, which seem to be mainly derived from the third class, is entirely beating the air in attempting to disprove their derivation from Indian books. M. Gaston Paris had put M. Bédier's whole argument out of court when he stated of the Fabliaux, "Ils proviennent de la transmission orale et non des livres" (Lit. franc., § 73).
unintelligible form, and in the smallest of legible type, in several cases they take up a whole page, without any claim to be exhaustive. It would, obviously, be impossible to discuss here all, or even a majority, of these parables, ample information about which can be obtained in the critical treatment of them, for which I give references in Appendix II. under the section of "Literature." But it seems desirable to treat at greater length a few of the more important parables, whether their importance depends upon their illustrious derivates or their folklore interest. Of these there can be no doubt which comes first in every way in deserving special notice.

1. *The Four Caskets.*—To find an integral part of the plot of one of Shakspere's best-known plays to be derived from Indian parable is one of those curiosities of literature which cannot fail to strike even the most vacant mind. But that the Caskets Story of the *Merchant of Venice* can ultimately be derived from a Buddhistic legend there is no manner of doubt, even if the immediate source whence Shakspere drew it cannot at present be ascertained. We can at least trace the
story from India to England through the medium of the Barlaam literature, and there can be no doubt that it came to Shakspere through some derivate of the Gesta Romano-rum, the English end link in the chain of tradition. But even apart from this evidence, the internal proofs of relationship would be decisive.

A reference to the form of the Parable as it appears in the Barlaam Literature (see Appendix II., infra, p. cvii.) will convince the reader that he has there the original Shaksperian motif. It is there found combined with the Parable of The Trumpet of Death in such a way as to make up one complex story. Now there can be no doubt about the Buddhistic origin of the Parable of The Trumpet of Death. It is found separately told of Vityayasoka, brother of the great Buddhistic King, Asoka. The great King’s brother, who had not yet been converted to Buddhism, had expressed his wonder that the followers of that religion could overcome their passions without resorting to asceticism. The King, to try his brother, and to convert him to the New Religion—so runs the tale—ordered his courtiers to
induce his brother and heir to try on the Royal Robes and sit upon the throne while he himself was at the Bath. The King, however, managed to catch his brother in his compromising attitude on the throne, and ordered him as a punishment to be treated as a King for a week, except that behind the throne was placed all the time the Royal Executioner with his Warning Bell. After the week was over the King asked his brother how he had managed so well to overcome his passions without resorting to asceticism. Vityasoka replied that he could think of nothing but the impending death with which the Executioner kept threatening him. "If you could be so influenced by the thought of one death," said the King, "how much more we Buddhists, who have to think of an innumerable series of deaths through all the phases of our existence." The brother was convinced, and joined the new Creed. (Bournouf, *Introduction à l'Histoire de Buddhism*: Paris, 1876, p. 370.)

That this is the original of the Barlaam Parable no one will deny; whether it is itself derived from an earlier Indian original of the
Story of Damocles, is another and more difficult question.

Curiously enough, however, no Indian original has yet been discovered for the Story of the Four Caskets, which, in the Barlaam, is so closely connected with the Trumpet of Death. Dr. Braunholtz, who has made a most complete study of this parable,* has failed to find anything nearer than Buddhistic comparisons of man's body to a casket. There is, it is true, a choice of four vessels occurring in the legend of the Buddha.† When the Buddha had finished his week's meditation under the Bo-Tree, two merchants, who became his first two converts, approached him and offered him rice and honey in a golden vessel. He refused the refreshment on the ground of the costly nature of the vessel containing it, and continued to do so even after they had changed the vessel for a silver, and then for a copper one. Only when it was placed in the Clay Bowl, so famous in Buddhistic Legend, did he accept it.‡ This case of

* For title, see Append. II., *sub voce*, "Literature."
† Omitted from Carus, 1, c. § xiii.
‡ Attempts have been made to trace the Holy Grail to this Almsdish; see Mr. Nutt's careful examination of the
choice is, however, only one of modesty, and has nothing to do with judgment by appearances, which is of the essence of the Caskets Story of Barlaam and Shakspere.

Dr. Braunholtz suggests that the idea of the choice may be derived from a widespread folktale, found throughout the Indo-European world, in which two girls go successively into Fairyland, and have there offered them a choice of caskets. The good girl chooses the least costly, and finds, on arriving home, that it is full of jewels. The other girl greedily selects the most expensive, and finds herself disappointed. This story has, indeed, the choice of caskets, but its moral is rather "Be modest" than "Do not judge by appearances," and thus resembles rather the choice of the Clay Bowl in the Legend of the Buddha than the selection of the Leaden Casket by Bassanio. It is, however, found in countries where Buddhism has had sway, as in Burmah and Japan, and is thus, possibly, of Buddhistic origin. But it can be only used on the present occasion to show that

suggestion in Arch. Rev., iii 257-71, and my letter, ibid., iv. 79, from which it would appear that the actual Dish still exists at Candahar.
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a choice of caskets was a familiar motif in Buddhistic Legend, and thus make more probable the Buddhistic origin of the Casket Story.

But even without this confirmatory evidence the Buddhistic origin of the story can scarcely be doubted upon the evidence before us. It occurs in the Arabic and Georgian versions, as well as in the Greek, and was, therefore, in the Pehlevi and its Indian original. It is enframed in what is after all only a Life of Buddha, and is closely connected with the Trumpet of Death, the Buddhistic original of which has already been shown. One can have little hesitation in adding it to the store of Buddhistic parables, even though, up to the present, modern research has failed to discover it in Buddhistic literature. Of the former spread of the legend in the form in which it appears in the Barlaam Dr. Braunholtz gives full evidence. He has managed to put his elaborate researches in a pedigree, which I repeat in a modified form for the benefit of my readers. He combines with his inquiry a somewhat similar Folktale of The Treasure in the Tree, which develops into a story of two blind men, to one of whom a loaf of bread is given
by the Emperor, in which some gold is hidden. This has only the faintest similarity with the Caskets Story, and I have, therefore, removed it and its derivates from the pedigree, which is thus entirely confined to the story we know so well from Shakspere.

2. The Sower.—The "Parable of the Sower," mostly as it is found in the Synoptic Gospels, occurs also in all the earliest versions of the Barlaam, Arabic, Georgian, Hebrew, and Greek. At first sight this fact does not seem to need much comment, but in reality it forms, perhaps, the chief puzzle in the critical problem of Barlaam; for it constitutes almost the only piece of definitely Christian origin in the Ur-Barlaam, as far as we can trace it. It is, therefore, the only piece of evidence for Dr. Kuhn's contention that Barlaam was originally written in Pehlevi by a Nestorian Christian for the polemical purposes of his faith. One might argue, in reply, that one parable does not make a theology, and that a Christian allegory might be used by a Buddhist somewhat in the way that Stanley or Jowett might use a rousing sentence of Mahomet or Buddha to point their Broad Church morals.
But there is a further point of interest and of difficulty about this parable in the present connection. Can we be quite sure that it is exclusively Christian? For there is also a Buddhist “Parable of the Sower,” which is given, as follows, in Dr. Carus’ admirable Gospel of Buddha (§ lxxiv.):

"Bhâradvâja, a wealthy Brahman, was celebrating his harvest thanksgiving when the Blessed One came with his alms-bowl, begging for food.

"Some of the people paid him reverence, but the Brahman was angry, and said, 'O Shramana, it would suit you better to go to work than to go begging. I plough and sow, and having ploughed and sown, I eat. If you did likewise, you too would have to eat.'

"And the Tathâgata answered him and said, 'O Brahman, I too plough and sow, and having ploughed and sown, I eat.'

"'Do you profess to be a husbandman?' replied the Brahman. 'Where, then, are your bullocks? Where is the seed and the plough?'

"The Blessed One said, 'Faith is the seed I sow; good works are the rain that fertilises it;
wisdom and modesty are the plough; my mind is the guiding rein; I lay hold of the handle of the law; earnestness is the goad I use; and exertion is my draught-ox. This ploughing is ploughed to destroy the weeds of illusion. The harvest it yields is the immortal life of Nirvāṇa, and thus all sorrow ends.'

"Then the Brahman poured rice-milk into a golden bowl and offered it to the Blessed One, saying, 'Let the teacher of mankind partake of the rice-milk, for the venerable Gautama ploughs a ploughing that bears the fruit of immortality.'"

Now at first sight this certainly seems a remarkable parallel to the Gospel parable, while its occasion is so natural that, if there is any question of derivation, the presumption is on the side of Buddha. But, examined more closely, the resemblance loses much of its force. For, while in the Buddhistic form stress is laid upon the sowing itself, in the Christian it is upon the nature of the soil to which attention is drawn. The moral of Buddha is—"Teaching is work;" the moral of Christ is—"The effect of teaching depends upon the character of the taught." Altogether,
therefore, notwithstanding the striking resemblance, there is no need to discuss the possibilities of direct derivation.

But the resemblance is close enough to suggest that the Christian form of the parable was introduced instead of the Buddhistic one after "Barlaam" had left India; in other words, in the lost Pehlevi version.

3. *Man in Well.*—This parable, as will be seen from the references in App. II., was one of the most popular morals of mediaeval sermonisers. Indeed, it puts in a most vivid form the most central practical doctrine of both Christian and Buddhistic Ethics. The supreme attraction of the pleasures of the senses amidst all the dangers of life and the perpetual threat of death has never been more vividly expressed. Of its specifically Indian character there can be no doubt. Dr. Kuhn, in an admirable monograph on the parable which he contributed to the complimentary volume presented to Professor von Böhtlingk on the Jubilee of his Doctor's degree (*Festgruss*, pp. 68–76), has given several instances outside the parable in which the *ficus indica religiosa* is made a symbol of life, notably in the *Bhagavad gītā* (xv. i.),
where there is a tree whose branches are the
elements and whose leaves are the things of
sense coloured by good and ill. Again, there is
the marvellous tree Ilpa, from whose branches
honey or soma trickles (Benfey, l. c., 83).

But we are not only dependent upon general
analogies for the proof of the Indian origin of
this parable. Benfey discovered two forms of
the parable in the Chinese Buddhistic work ent-
titled Avadana. Mr. Clouston* has found it
in the eleventh book of the Mahabharata, and
Dr. Kuhn has traced it in a Jaina work. Here
we have the parable, not alone traced to India,
but, in the Avadana and Jaina forms, closely
connected with Buddhism. The story occurs
in some of the Arabic forms of the Fables of
Bidpai, whence it got into Europe through
another source than the Barlaam. In the
Bombay Arabic version of Barlaam there are
distinctive peculiarities which are of critical
importance, though this has not hitherto been
observed. Most of these versions resemble one
another, generally both in the story and in the
allegory which it is intended to adorn. But
there are divergences of detail which deserve

* See his letter, Athenæum, February 7, 1891.
INTRODUCTION

careful investigation, towards which the accompanying table will prove of service.

The first thing to observe is that the Arabic form clearly constitutes the bridge between the Occident and Orient on this occasion. Alone of the Western versions it preserves "The Bees,"* which exist in the two Indian forms, while already it shows the Western change of the Indian elephant into the nondescript dragon. We may conclude from this that the Arabic does not derive from the Greek, and is closer to the Indian original than it.

A still more remarkable parallel exists to this parable in the far-famed Norse Legend of the Yggdrasil.† This is a giant ash, whose branches spread round the world. Its three roots are connected with Heaven, Earth, and Hell: under each root gushes a well-spring; from the tree trickles a fall of honey. On its

* They occur, however, without allegorical significance, in the Hebrew form of the Bidpai. Cf. Steinschneider, Übersetzungen, p. 880, who has a mass of information on this parable.

† I give this description from Grimm, Teutonic Mythology, 796. Unfortunately he does not give any references, and some of the details are missing from the account given in the Grimmis-Mal in Vigfusson and York-Powell, Corp. Poet. Bor., i. 73.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE</th>
<th>Parables of Barlaam</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>World</td>
<td>World</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Life</td>
<td>Life</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>World</td>
<td>World</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Root of Life</td>
<td>Root of Life</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elements</td>
<td>Elements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day and Night</td>
<td>Day and Night</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Death</td>
<td>Death</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pleasure</td>
<td>Pleasure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Troubles</td>
<td>Troubles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human Life</td>
<td>Human Life</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Under-World</td>
<td>Under-World</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Passions</td>
<td>Passions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sun and Moon</td>
<td>Sun and Moon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Death</td>
<td>Death</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pleasure</td>
<td>Pleasure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illnesses</td>
<td>Illnesses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body</td>
<td>Body</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hope of Life</td>
<td>Hope of Life</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time</td>
<td>Time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Four Heads</td>
<td>Four Heads</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day and Night</td>
<td>Day and Night</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year</td>
<td>Year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pleasure of Senses</td>
<td>Pleasure of Senses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Desires</td>
<td>Desires</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Well</td>
<td>Well</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tree</td>
<td>Tree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serpent</td>
<td>Serpent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mice</td>
<td>Mice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elephant</td>
<td>Elephant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Honey</td>
<td>Honey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bees</td>
<td>Bees</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
topmost bough sits an eagle, while a snake is gnawing at its roots. A squirrel runs up and down, trying to create enmity between the snake and the eagle, and round the tree are four stags. Most of these animals have names given them which are clearly of allegorical or mythological significance. At first sight there is remarkable similarity, at least in the accessories of the two conceptions—the tree itself, the trickling honey, the gnawed root, and the four stags.

Much resemblance, however, disappears on closer examination. The central ideas of the two legends are entirely diverse. One is cosmological, the other eschatological.* As Grimm observes, "the only startling thing is the agreement in certain accessories" (Teut. Myth., 799). Yet this resemblance in accessories is the more striking on that account. M. Bédier has recently suggested a formula for testing the derivation of folktales and legends from one another. He separates the central idea of a story from the accidental accessories. He expresses the former by $\omega$, and the details by $a$, $b$, $c$, $d$, &c. His contention is, that mere

* There is, however, reference to Hell, at least in the serpent and the gnawed root of the Norse version.
resemblance in the central idea ($\omega$) does not prove derivation, but that resemblance in the details (a, b, c, d, &c.) does do so. Thus, if two stories be represented by the formulæ

$$\omega + a + b + c + d$$

and

$$\omega + e + f + g + h,$$

we cannot conclude that the latter is derived from the former. The method is not so rigid or so objective as M. Bédier imagines. There is a good deal of elasticity and possibility of subjective preference in his $\omega$. But be that as it may, on the present occasion we have a state of affairs which has not been contemplated in M. Bédier's scheme. The $\omega$ is different, while some of the details are the same. We get the formulæ—

$$\text{Yggdrasil } = \omega + a + b + c + d + e + f$$

$$\text{Man in Well } = \xi + a + b + c + d + g + h$$

If the central idea had been in both cases the same there could be no doubt as to the derivative character of the myth of Yggdrasil, according to M. Bédier's method and formula. As it is, we are met by a state of affairs which, so far as I can observe, has not been contemplated by M. Bédier, and various interpretations will be given to the resemblance of details by various people. For myself, I am inclined
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to think that the Yggdrasil Myth has been "contaminated" by the other mediæval allegory of the "Man in the Well." In the first place, the accessories common to the two are, in large measure, meaningless in the Yggdrasil Myth, especially "The Four Stags"; in the second place, the Norse Myth, so far from being primitive, as Grimm regards it, is probably late and artificial. Messrs. Vigfusson and York-Powell, indeed, go so far as to suggest that the Myth never "travelled beyond the single poem in which it was wrought out by a Master-Mind" (Corp. Poet. Bor., ii. 459). As for the possibility of the Barlaam Legend reaching Iceland, one may remember the close connection between Norway and Constantinople through the Varangars, the Norse bodyguard of the Eastern Emperors. Altogether, therefore, I think it possible, and even likely, that the Yggdrasil Myth, in the form in which we have it now, has been influenced in some of its details by the parable of the "Man in the Well." *

Before leaving this interesting parable some-

* It is fair to add that Professor Bugge, who is generally most ready to account for such similarities by transmission, does not see how to do so in the present instance. See his Studien.
thing should be said as to its pictorial representations. In most of the illustrated editions of the *Bidpai* the illustration of this parable is given; one will be found, e.g., in my edition of the first English version of the *Bidpai* in this series, p. 61. Quite at the other end of the world the "Man in the Well" can be found illustrated in a Chinese chap-book dealing with the story, which is described and figured in the *Royal Asiatic Society Journal*, China Branch, xix. i. 94. The parable also formed the subject for church decoration, and it is still to be found on the walls of several Italian churches. We have here a further example of that migration of illustrations to which I referred in a former volume of this series (*Bidpai*, pp. xix.–xxiv.).

4. The Three Friends.—This parable is remarkable for the number of dramatic versions to which it has given rise. But before discussing these it is worth while referring to the possibility that this parable reached the West from the East before the *Barlaam* was composed. There is, indeed, a somewhat similar parable, given by Petrus Alfonsi, a Spanish Jewish convert of the early twelfth century, in
which a man tests the fidelity of his friend by pretending to be a murderer. The sources of Alfonsi are, in every case, Oriental, yet the same anecdote is told by Polyænus, a writer of the second century, as occurring to Alcibiades. While, however, the central idea of this story is the same as that of the Barlaam, its details are different, and so, according to M. Bédier's principle, we cannot count them as connected by transmission. But in a Jewish work, Pirke R. Eleazar, c. xliii., the parable occurs in nearly the same form as the Barlaam.* The opening words of the story are sufficient to indicate this: "Man has, during life, three friends. These are—his children, his money, and his good works." It is generally thought that this work was composed in the sixth century A.D., just before the Barlaam commenced its long travels from Persia. And if so, it might be thought possible that the Jewish form of the Legend was the original one, especially as its moral is pointed by appropriate Biblical verses. Yet in the Barlaam it occurs in the Arabic,

* There seem to be a reference to this in the sixth or supplementary chapter of the Pirke Aboth. But this chapter is, according to Dr. Taylor, its latest and best editor, quite a recent addendum.
Georgian, and Greek versions, so that it was almost certainly in the Indian original. Either, therefore, that original got to the West, or at least to Syria or Babylon, independently, or the form of the story in the *Pirke Eleazar* was derived from the *Barlaam*, and its composition must therefore be later than the seventh century. Of its wide spread through the *Barlaam* there is no doubt. It found a place in all the great mediæval collections, like the *Gesta Romanorum*, and even in Stainhöwel's Äsop (cf. my edition of Caxton, ii. p. 206).

Of its popularity in England an interesting proof was afforded by a Morality founded upon the parable, written in the fifteenth and printed in the early sixteenth century by John Scott, a pupil of Pynson's (*circa* 1592). This Morality, entitled *Every Man*, was translated into Latin by Christian Sterk, and printed in 1548 under the title *Homulus*; while a Dutch poet, Peter van Diest, obtained a prize for a Dutch version of the same title, which was printed at Cologne in 1536. Dr. Goedeke, from whose monograph* I take the above items, is of opinion that the English version was the source of the Conti-

*Every Man; Homulus und Hekastus. Hanover, 1865.*
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nental ones. Dr. Logeman, on the contrary, considers the Dutch version the source of the subsequent ones. I will not attempt to decide when such doctors disagree, but will merely remark that Academic dramas on the same theme continued to be composed by Continental scholars throughout the seventeenth century. These plays, whose titles are given by Dr. Goedeke, form, perhaps, the most striking proof of the popularity of the parable of Barlaam.

5. Man and Bird.—The story of the man who caught a nightingale and let it go on promise of receiving three pieces of advice is well known in English Literature as having formed the subject of one of Lydgate's pieces, and is equally well known in Germany, being the subject of a version by Wieland. Its earliest appearance is in the Barlaam Literature, but it also occurs in the very early Disciplina Clericalis of Petrus Alfonsi. It is, of course, possible that the Spanish convert got the allegory from Barlaam, but we know that several other of his allegories were derived from Eastern oral sources. It is, therefore, possible that he obtained the Legend of the "Man and Bird" from some other derivative of the Indian original. That it had an Indian
original would almost be proven by the fact that it occurs in all the four earliest versions, Arabic, Georgian, Hebrew, and Greek. Benfey (in his Einleitung, p. 380) compares the Indian story of the bird who promises a hunter some treasure if he will release him, and goes on to point out that in some Indian stories a piece of advice is often regarded as equal to treasure-trove. These indications serve only to show that the story is not inconsistent with Indian ideas. But, on the other hand, there is nothing specifically Indian in those ideas. But for the fact that the story occurs for the first time in the Barlaam we could not be certain of its Indian origin. But it must be remembered that when we prove the Indian provenance of some of the tales in the book, this adds considerably to the weight of probability of the same origin in cases where we can only indicate probability. As I have elsewhere remarked, the strength of the chain of tradition depends on that of its strongest link, though this be against the catenary laws of physicists.

6. Language of Animals.—This story only occurs in one of the early versions of Barlaam, the Hebrew Prince and Dervish. But the
story is remarkable as occurring as a widespread folktale. Mr. Fraser, who discusses it in connection with a general inquiry into the folk-belief in the "Language of Animals" (Arch. Rev., i. 168–72), quotes Servian, Indian, Arabic, Italian, Annamite, Tartar, and Finnish versions. He does not, however, refer to the Hebrew one, though this is, undoubtedly, the earliest extant. Yet it is impossible to consider the Hebrew the source of the folktales, and it can, therefore, only be regarded as one of the somewhat rare cases in which folktales have been taken up into Literature. It may be worth while to devote some consideration to this folktale, as an instance of the problem of diffusion.

Nobody, not even M. Bédier, would assert that such a complex and artificial story as this could have been invented casually and independently on two different occasions. A man learns the language of animals on condition he does not betray his knowledge. On one occasion he bursts into laughter on hearing some animal speak, and is pestered by his wife to tell her why he laughed. He answers that he must die if he tells her. But this, naturally, only increases her curiosity and persistence.
He is about to give way, when he hears other animals rebuking him for his weakness. They know how to rule their wives; why should not he? A judicious application of the stick cures the wife of her curiosity.

Such are the main outlines of the story, and one may be pretty confident that it was only invented once. The chief variation occurs with regard to the species of animal that gives the advice by which the man extricates himself from his difficulties. In the Hebrew and in the Arabian Nights, as well as in all the European versions, the Counsellor is a Cock, who points out that, if he can rule a hundred hens, he does not see why the man cannot overcome a single wife. In two of the Indian versions, and in the Annamite Story, it is an Ant who gives the advice. It is probable that Mohammed knew this version, and refers to it in the Koran in the Sura entitled Ant. (Vulgate 27, Nöldeke-Rodwell, 68). Finally, the Tamul, Jaina, and Turkish versions, given by Benfey, and a further Indian version, which Mr. Fraser quotes from Bastian, make the Counsellor a He-goat. This criterion would give us three lines of derivation from the
original. Considering the popularity of the Arabian Nights, it might, naturally, be supposed that the European versions, in which we are mostly interested, came from that source. But the two Italian versions of Morlini and Straparola were printed in the sixteenth century, long before Galland had made the Arabian Nights popular. So that it is impossible to regard the latter as the source of the European versions. These must have spread from the East by the folk and through the folk. But that they did spread thence there can be but little doubt in the mind of any one who compares the evidence.

7. The Robbers' Nemesis.—Here again we have a tale only occurring in the Hebrew Barlaam, and yet certainly derived from India. That it is the source of Chaucer's Pardonere's Tale makes it of exceptional interest. It is, also, curious to find that the story, which, in its original form, is told of the Buddha, was, later on, told about Jesus. The original was discovered by Dr. Morris in the Vedabha Jataka, the forty-eighth Birth-Story of Buddha (The Jataka, tr. Chalmers, vol. i. pp. 121-4). Here Buddha foresees the Nemesis
which will befall the robbers. In a poetical Persian version, and in two Arabic versions, given by Mr. Clouston in the Chaucer Society's *Originals*, pp. 423–9, it is Jesus, Son of Mary, who has the prophetic insight of the Nemesis.

This story is only found among the folk in Germany, where it was, possibly, made popular by Hans Sachs. In Italy it formed the subject of a Miracle Play, but, so far as I know, it does not occur among the Italian folktales; while the Portuguese version, given by Braga, is not a true folktale, but is reproduced from a Portuguese writer of the fourteenth century. Thus the story is not a true folktale, and its diffusion has been entirely literary.

8. *King, Man, and Skull.* —In the Bombay, Arabic, and in one of the Persian versions occurs the well-known story of *Alexander and the Skull*, though the great Conqueror's name is not mentioned. "A little dust will cover the eye that took in the whole world in its glance." This *memento mori* is mentioned in the Talmud about Alexander, and recalls other anecdotes given in Plutarch's *Life*. It may, therefore, be a Greek tradition about the great Conqueror, and is, clearly enough, an inter-
polation in the Barlaam Literature. But its existence in one form of it is a proof that interpolations of parables were possible, and should make us careful before assuming that any one parable was in the Indian original unless literary criticism can establish its provenance.

9. Man among Ghouls.—In the Bombay Arabic there occurs an interpolated story of a vessel that was cast ashore on an island inhabited by ghouls, who disguise themselves as beautiful maidens. This has a certain amount of similarity with the Myth of the Sirens, while it has several Indian and Buddhistic variants (for which see Appendix. II.), which prove that it was a favourite conception of the Buddhists. Indeed, the ghoul who disguises herself as a beautiful maiden is quite a frequent motif in Indian folktales. The chief interest of the story is, however, its relation to the incident in the Odyssey. It has to be remembered that the Bishop of Colombo is inclined to think that certain portions of the Jatakas have been influenced by the general scheme of the Odyssey.* It, therefore, becomes possible that, instead of the Sirens being derived from the Ghouls in

* Journ. R. A. S., Ceylon branch.
this instance, the relationship may be of an inverse kind. The early date of the Odyssey makes it practically impossible that Homer could have been influenced by any transmission from India. On the other hand, resemblance of the two legends is not so close as to force us to assume derivation on either side.

10. Women as Demons.—The lad who had never seen a woman, and was told the first one he saw was a demon, yet prefers the demon to anything else he had seen, is almost the only parable of Barlaam which has any humour in it. It is a distinctly Indian conception, though it chimed in sufficiently with the Christian view of the wickedness of woman to be very popular among the mediæval preachers. It occurs in both of the great Indian books, the Mahabharata and the Ramayana, so that its Indian origin is undisputed. Indeed, it is one of the points requiring further investigation whether the conception of the innate wickedness of woman, which forms a stock subject of Christian homiletics, was not derived from the similar Buddhistic conception. Some time ago Dr. Donaldson showed, in the Contemporary Review (September 1889), that the de-
graded conception of the nature of woman current in early Christianity could not be traced to either Jewish or classical influence. It is one of the many instances in which the legend and doctrines of Christianity and Buddhism show such a remarkable resemblance that we are tempted, on folklore principles, to assume some Indian influence.

These are all the Parables of Barlaam which seem to me to require special comment, beyond the information given in the notes to Appendix II. The resemblance of one of the remaining parables to the Ballad of King Cophetua, and of another to Dryden's Cimon and Iphigenia, are merely casual, and are of only secondary interest from the folklore standpoint. But these, and others given in Appendix II., will not be found uninteresting by readers who care for good stories. I cannot flatter myself that anything I can say will be as interesting to the reader as the text of the parables given in Appendix II. After reading them the reader will be able more fully to understand their widespread popularity throughout mediaeval Europe, to which we now turn.
Of the wide extent to which the *Barlaam* was translated in the European languages no better evidence could be afforded than the pedigree in which I have attempted to sum up Dr. Kuhn's elaborate bibliographical lists of translations and versions. These number no less than sixty separate translations, many of which have gone through very many editions. From Italy to Iceland, from Spain to Russia, there is scarcely a land or a language into which *Barlaam* has not penetrated. Even in the distant Philippines, it will be seen from the pedigree, a Tagol version was made from the Spanish and printed at Manilla in 1712. Even the Fables of Bidpai have scarcely attained to such œcuménical diffusion.

Restricting ourselves to Europe, we find that it is chiefly the patronage of the Church that
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has given rise to so extensive a literature. It was because they were thought to be saintly exemplars that the lives of Barlaam and Josaphat became such an object of interest to all good Catholics. It is, accordingly, the versions in the sacred language of the Church which have, as a rule, the largest number of derivates. Europe read the Parables of Barlaam, for the most part, in Latin.

There are two Latin versions which have been the main source of the European adaptations of the Legend. The earlier one, attributed to one Anatasius Bibliothecarius, went into French, German, English, Spanish, Icelandic, Irish, and Czech, through the medium of the Vitæ Sanctorum, into which it had been received.* Some of these adaptations of the First Latin had themselves vigorous offshoots. Thus, the Icelandic gave birth to Danish and Swedish Barlaams. Solorzano’s Spanish version was done into Portuguese, and had the still greater honour of being made the foundation of a drama by Lope de Vega. This drama, in its turn, was one of the sources

* For details, see pedigree, which summarises Dr. Kuhn’s bibliographical lists.
of Calderon's most famous play *La Vida es Sueño.*

One of the French versions was even more prolific. Executed in prose in the thirteenth century, it got into Provençal and became the father of the numerous Italian offshoots which include a mediæval sacred drama, and another drama, not perhaps so sacred, by Pulci.

This First Latin version, as received into the *Vitæ Sanctorum*, was also taken up into two great mediæval collections which thus helped to spread the Barlaam Legend and Parables. St. Vincent of Beauvais placed an abstract of it in his huge Encyclopaedia in the historical section (*Speculum Historiale*, xv.). Another abstract was included by Jacobus de Voragine in his *Golden Legend*, whence it was utilised to form the subject of two French Miracle Plays, while the book itself got into English in Caxton's version, which we have repeated in this volume.

Besides the Caxton, there are no less than four mediæval English versions, which have

* See Mr. Maccoll's *Select Plays of Calderon*, pp. 121-23.
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recently been printed under the editorial care of Dr. Horstmann. Three in verse were included by him in his *Alteenglische Legenden* (Paderborn, 1875), and one in prose printed by him in a programme in 1877.* None of these English versions give either the Legend or the Parables in a particularly attractive form, and, for the most part, when we can trace any influence of the Barlaam Literature in England it is, probably, directly due to one of the Latin versions of the Legend, or to the adaptations of the Parables used as *Exempla* by English monks, like Nicholas Bozon.† When one refers to the chapbook versions, one of which is reprinted in this volume, the short and simple annals of the English *Barlaam* are concluded.

There yet remains another Latin version

* I am indebted to Dr. Kölbing for an opportunity of seeing this latter, which is rather rare. It has accordingly been omitted by the Rev. J. Morrison, who has reprinted the three metrical versions as an Appendix to Dr. Macdonald's *Story of Barlaam and Josaphat* (Calcutta, 1895, Thacker). The volume also contains *The History of the Five Wise Philosophers*.

which had considerable influence upon the spread of the Barlaam. When Abbot Billius of S. Michel in Brittany produced a Latin edition of the works of John of Damascus, he became dissatisfied with the early Latin version and executed one of his own, which appeared in the Saint's Opera, Paris, 1577, and separately, sixteen years afterwards. This gave rise to further French translations, and to Dutch, Polish, and Spanish adaptations. This last had the distinction of being adopted into the Tagol dialect of Manilla, and was received into a Spanish "Golden Legend" known as the Flos Sanctorum, which was translated back into Latin, as well as into French, Italian, German, Dutch, and English.

There remain only to be considered the Slavonic versions which spread through East Europe. These all derive from the Old Slavonic, which forms the basis of the modern Russian, and of various Roumanian versions. The Legend has taken firm root in Slavonic soil, and has given rise, both in Russia and Roumania, to a most pathetic folksong in which Josaphat is represented addressing the wilderness in which he is to pass his ascetic
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It is, doubtless, from one of the popular Russian versions that Count Tolstoi has obtained his knowledge of the Barlaam, of which he gives evidence in his Confessions.

The oecumenical spread of the Barlaam Literature, which I have now sufficiently indicated by this summary of the bibliography of the book—though this has, of course, to be supplemented by the evidence of the separate spread of the Parables—is sufficient proof of the attractiveness both of Legend and Parables to the mediæval mind of Europe. When we ask what is the charm which attracted mediæval Christendom to what is, after all, only a version of the life and parables of Buddha, the answer is not far to seek. The world has known, up till now, four great systems of Religion: Paganism, Buddhism, Christianity, and Culture, of which last Goethe may be described as the High Priest. Paganism in its various forms may be most simply described as the Worship of the Social Bond. All the other three religions have for their main object the salvation of the individual. And all three are at one as

to the means of salvation. "Entbehren, entbehren sollst du," cried Goethe, and in his own way was only repeating what Buddha and Christ had said before him. Renunciation as the key of salvation is thus the teaching of all modern religions. It is because the Barlaam Legend, and many of its Parables, have presented renunciation as the ideal of man's striving, that it came home in the Middle Ages so persistently to the folk with whom renunciation is a necessity of existence. The truth embodied in this tale has indeed come home to lowly minds.
APPENDIX I.

ABSTRACT OF LEGEND

[The following abstract gives the main results of the restoration of the original legend made from the various early versions, not derived from the Greek, by Kuhn, pp. 15-33. I have run his §§ 3 and 4 into one, so that after 3, his sections are numbered one higher than mine. In the annotations, Arab. references to the pages of the Bombay Kitāb, Gr. to the pages of Boissonade's Greek text, Heb. references to the chapters ("Gates") of Ibn Chisdai. For parables, see Appendix II.]

I.—BARLAAM.

There lived once a king in India mighty and powerful, who knew not the true faith, and persecuted grievously its adherents. Now he had no son to follow him, and this grieved him sorely. One night his chief wife dreamed that a huge white elephant came down to her from the air, but injured
APPENDIX

her not. The astrologers declared to the king that he would have a son.

[Arab. 3–5. Gr. 1–8. Heb. Int. The name of the king is in Arab. Janaisar, in Georg. Iabenes, in Gr. Abenner. (Cf. 2 Sam. iii. 6.) The name of the country in Arab. is Shawilabatt, a reminiscence of Kapilavastu. The dream only in Arabic, but certainly in original, owing to Buddhistic parallels. (Cf. Beal, Rom. Leg. 37.)]

II.

The King learnt that one of his chief men had been converted to the true faith, and summoned him to him. The Sage told him of the vanity of the world, and besought him to humble his pride: but the King was incensed, and drove the Sage from his kingdom.

[Arab. 5–17. Gr. 8–18. Heb. i.–iii. The sermon on the vanity of the world much contracted in Gr. Part of it is found later, Gr. 109–11.]

III.

A son is born to the King, who is named Yudâsaf, and when his horoscope is cast the astrologers declare, that while he would surpass all his forebears in majesty, he would turn to the true faith. So the King built for him a beautiful palace far away from the haunts of men, so that he could never know the common lot of men or learn the nature of death.
Meanwhile he continued the strictest persecution of the followers of the faith.


IV.

Meanwhile the Prince grows up and begins to feel the loneliness of his position. He asks his teachers, and learns the secret of his imprisonment. Thereupon he begs his father to grant him greater freedom: but when he goes out he meets a blind man, and a leper, and an old man, and a corpse, and learns from these the common fate of man. Who shall give him consolation for the fate that awaits him? he asks, and is told that only the hermits of the true faith can allay the fear of death. These have been driven from the country.

[Arab. 27-34. Gr. 28-35. Heb. vi. Name of the Teacher not given in Gr. or Arab., but Zandani in Georg. (Cf. Chandaka, Buddha's Charioteer.) Arab. alone adds a Buddhistic trait, as follows: "An astrologer declares that the boy will forsake the world, unless he is made to shed blood. The lad is put to sacrifice a sheep, but instead, wounds himself in his left hand, and faints." (Cf. the Buddhistic Ahinsa.) For the meetings, cf. Carus, Gospel of Buddha, § vi., and supra, pp. xliiv.-v.]
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V.

Now the holy hermit Barlaam came at this time to the court of the Prince in the garb of a merchant, and came into his presence under the pretext that he had precious stones to show him. When asked what it is, he tells the tale of:—

V.a. *The Holy King and the Hermit,*

which includes also the parables—

V.a. i. *The Trumpet of Death.*
V.a. ii. *The Four Caskets.*
V.a. iii. *The Sower.*

[Arab. 37-46. Gr. 36-44. Heb. vii.–viii. Gr. puts *Parable of Sower* first. For parables, see infra. Heb. adds in c. ix. that of V.b., *Bird and Fisherman.*]

VI.

Barlaam teaches the vanity of this world by means of the three parables—

VI.a. *The Man in the Well.*
VI.b. *The Three Friends.*
VI.c. *The King of the Year.*

[Arab. 47–69. Gr. 44–120, but with much Christian interpolations (Biblical History, 44–56; the Sacrament of Baptism, 58–9, 88–9, Old and New Testament, 90–2, Repentance, 90–4, Martyrs and Monks, 100–8). None of these in Georg., which, however, contains the Christian Confession of Faith, Gr. 83–4. Other Christian interpolations in Gr. 126–134. Heb. x.–xiv., adding the *Parable of the Cannibal King,* also found in Arab., which has besides the Apologues, *Dogs and Carrion. Bird and Prophet, Sun of Wisdom, King and Shepherd,*
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VII.

The Prince then inquires why his father should have persecuted the followers of the true faith if their doctrines were so sound. Barlaam tells the parables—

VII.a. *The Heathen King and the Believing Vizier.*

(VII.a. i. *The Swimmer and his Comrades.*)


(VII.b. ii. *Education by Love.*)


The Prince asks Barlaam how old he is, and is told “twelve years old,” for only during the time of hermithood had he truly lived.


VIII.

The Prince’s guardian, Zardan, overhears the conversation of Barlaam and Yudasaf, and threatens to tell the King, but is induced not to do so by the Prince.

[Arab. 117–23, Gr. 179–83 (before end of § ix.), Heb. xxiv. and portions of xxv., xxvi., but from henceforth pursues an original course till end of xxxv. (= § ix.). Georg. omits Christian dogmatics of Gr. 180–1.]
IX.

Barlaam tells the Prince that he must leave him, whereupon the Prince expresses his willingness to go with him. Then Barlaam tells the parable—

IX.a. The Tame Gazelle.

The Prince inquires after the mode of life and dress of the followers of the true faith, which Barlaam shows to him. Thereupon the Prince exchanges clothes with Barlaam, who goes his way.


X.

The King learns through Zardan the conversion of his son, and consults with his astrologer, Araches. He recommends, either to seize Barlaam and put him to death, or if he cannot be found, to get a stranger, named Nachor, to personate him and be overcome in a public disputation upon the faith.

[Arab. 135-48. Gr. 190-205. Arab. has only one Rakis, who is sliced by Gr. and Georg. into two, Araches and Nachor. Kuhn sees in Rakis some reminiscence of the Buddhistic Devadatta.]
Before the disputation the King tries twice to turn his son from the New Way. At first with menaces, and then with persuasive mildness.

[Arab. 148-236. Gr. 206-32. Arab. has two discussions: in course of second, the Prince enumerates his ancestors and declares they were all followers of al-Budd. Both King and son agree as to the beauty of al-Budd’s doctrines. Hence Kuhn sees an interpolation from the Kitâb al-Budd.]

XII.

The disputation is held, but beforehand the Prince threatens Nachor to tear him asunder if he does not conquer for the right faith. Nachor triumphs and flees into the wilderness.

[Arab. 236-48. Gr. 232-62, but with the insertion of The Apology of Aristides.]

XIII.

The magician, Theudas, recommends the King to lead the Prince away by the wiles of woman, and narrates the parable—

XIII.a. The Youth who had never seen a Woman.

The Prince resists temptation and sees in a dream the fate of the saints and the damned. He reproaches the King, and remains firm to the true
faith. He also interviews Theudas, and tells him the parable—

XIII.b. The Peacock and the Raven.

Theudas is converted to the true faith, and the Prince goes forth into the wilderness to live a hermit's life with Barlaam.


The magician is called T'edam in Georg., Tahdam in Arab., but Theudas in Gr. (Cf. Acts v. 37.) In Arab. the Prince is saved from temptation by a dream, and converts Tahdam by the parable of Peacock and Raven to the faith of al-Budd, and tells the Prince that forty years before he had met a wise Indian who had told him that al-Budd had told that parable 300 years before, and prophesied that the true Peacock would come after 300 years.

The temptation is Buddhistic. (Cf. Rhys Davids, Birth Stories, i. 81, and Carus, Gospel of Buddha, § xi.) Theudas represents Buddha's schoolfellow, Udayin. (Beal, p. 349.) The parable is the Bavaru Jataka. In Gr. and Georg. the King becomes converted, and after his death Joasaph puts another on the throne, and joins Barlaam in the wilderness. Both saints die, and their relics are collected. In Arab. II. the Prince flees by night from his palace together with his vizier. He is stopped by a beautiful boy, who tries to induce him to remain. But he continues his flight on horseback, and when he arrives at the edge of the wilderness sends the vizier back with his horse and valuables. He then sees a great tree by a brook. On the tree
grow fruit that thanked him as he plucked them. Four angels take him up to heaven, where he is taught of wisdom, and then returns to earth, and converts all he meets. He visits his native town and his father, and at last reaches Kashmir, where he puts his head to the west, and his feet to the east, and dies after giving his blessing to his favourite pupil Anand. Here we have clearly the Great Renunciation, and the enlightenment under the Bo-Tree. The vizier is Chandaka, the boy, Rahula. Kashmir is a misreading for Kusinara, and Anand, of Ananda. (Cf. Carus, §§ vii., xi., xcvi., xcviii.)
APPENDIX II.

[The following series of abstracts give the parables contained in the early versions of the Barlaam, with bibliographical index of their occurrences elsewhere, as well as references with previous critical treatment of the separate parables. For the Greek I have referred to Zotenberg's edition of the parable at the end of the Notice (Z). For the Halle Arabic I have referred to the translation by Mr. Rehatsek in the Journ. Roy. Asiat. Soc., N.S., xxii. 119–55 (Reh.), for a translation of the Greek to Mr. R. Chambers' English version, ibid. xxiii. (C.), and Lubrecht's German (L.). For the Hebrew I give the "gate" or chapter, while for the Georgian and the other Arabic versions Kuhn and Hommel are my authorities. To each parable I have added a Roman numeral indicating the section of the original in which it is inserted. (See App. I.) Under the heading "Literature" I have mainly confined myself to the more recent monographs, which themselves contain references to earlier treatments.]

II.a. Anger and Passion.

To embrace the true faith it is necessary to send away its enemies. And what are they? Anger
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and Desire. These may support the truly human being who directs his life according to the Spirit, but for carnal beings they are deadly enemies.

[Z. i., L. p. 8, C. 425.]

V.a. The Trumpet of Death and the Four Caskets.


A king once saw two hermits clad in scanty clothing passing by his state carriage. He leapt out, and bowed down before them and saluted them with every mark of respect and honour. His courtiers could not make anything of this, and asked the King's younger brother to remonstrate with him at his behaviour. This he did: but next day the King sent to him a herald with the Trumpet of Death, with which it was customary in that country to announce to high-born criminals that they were condemned to death. The Prince in great dismay went weeping to the King, and begged to know in what he had offended. The King replied, "In naught, my brother, but I will teach thee why I greeted the hermits so respectfully. If thou art so moved at seeing the herald of thy own brother, should I not be even more impressed at seeing the herald of my God?" And so saying he dismissed his brother. But he caused four caskets to be made: two covered with gold and precious stones, but containing naught but dry bones. The other two, however, he covered only with clay, but filled them with jewels and costly pearls. He
then summoned the courtiers to him and asked them to give judgment as to the value of the caskets. They replied that those covered with gold must contain the royal jewels, while the clay could be of no particular value. Thereupon the King ordered the caskets to be opened, and pointing to the golden ones he said, “These represent the men who go about clothed in fine raiment but within are full of evil deeds. But these,” he added, turning to the caskets of clay, “represent those holy men who, though ill clad, are full of jewels of the faith.”

[Death Trumpet.—Occurrence in Barlaam Literature.—See App. I., v. Occurring in Arab., Georg., and Gr., it must have been in Indian original, and was probably there also connected with “The Four Caskets.” Nearly all the derivates of the Greek contain it.

Indian Original.—Legend of Asoka’s brother, Vitasoka (Burnouf, Introd.). (Cf. Katha-sarit-sagara, VI. xvii., tr. Tawney, i. 237.)

Parallels.—The Sword of Damocles (Cicero, Tusc. Disp., v. 21; Oesterley on Gesta, 143; Wendemuth, ii. 21; Crane on Exempla, xlii.b).

Derivates.—Jacques de Vitry, Exempla, ed. Crane, xlii.; Paratus, Sermones, 145; Wright, Latin Stories, 103; John of Bromyard, s.v. “Homo”; Gesta Romana-rum, ed. Oesterley, 143; Abundancia exempl., f. 30.b; Gower, Conf. Amant. (Cf. Swan, Gesta, 401); Mag. speculum exemp., ed. 1610, s.v. Judicium; Libro de enxemplos, 121, 223; Brit. Mus. MS., add. 11,284, ff. 27b, 40b (Crane).

Literature.—E. Braunholtz. Die Erste Nichtchristliche, Parabel des Barlaam und Josaphat, ihre Herkunft und Verbreitung, Halle, 1884, but mainly concerned with Four Caskets. For reviews, &c., see that.
parable s.v. Literature; Oesterley on Gesta, i43; Wendenmuth., ii. ii1. (But confusing with Damocles) Crane, ut supra; Cassell, Aus Literatur und Symbolik, 166-8; Kuhn, 74-5.

The Four Caskets.—Occurrences in Barlaam.—In Arab., Georg., Gr., therefore in original. In all derivates of Gr.

Indian Original.—Tale of Asoka’s Minister Yasas. (Burnouf, Introd., 333; St. Hilaire, Bouddha, i03.) With the addition of "The Caskets," from Buddhistic "Folk-Tales," on which Benfey, Pants, i. 407 seq.

Parallels.—Buddhistic comparison of man’s body to a casket (Lalita Vistara, tr. Foucaux, p. 358). Cf. Gospel parallel of Whited Sepulchres and Talmudic Legends, Baba Bathra, 58a; Synhedrin, 108b. (Cf. Caster, Beiträge, pp. 6-10.) For Folk-Tales containing choice of Caskets, see Cosquin, l.c.

Derivates.—Vincentius Bellovacensis, Spec. hist., xv. i0; Gerard de Roussillon, ed. Michel; Legenda aurea, f. 136b, and offshoots; Guy de Cambrai, Barlaam, ed. Zotenberg and Meyer, p. 37; Jehan de Condé, “Dou roi et des hiermites” in Dits et Contes, ed. Scheler., II. i. 63; Bartsch, Provenz. Lesebuch, 166-74; Storia de’ SS. Barlaam e Giosafatte, ed. Bottari, Rome, 1734, p. 20; Vita di Giosafatte in Bini, Rime e prosa, Lucca, i852, p. 124; Boccaccio, Decamerone, x. 1; Gower, Confessio Amantis, ed. Pauli, ii. 203; Morlini, nov. v.; Straporala, Notti, fav. v.; Carion, Chronica, 1533, f. 2013b (Kaiser Sigmund, see Genealogy for offshoots); Bromyard, Summa Prædicantium, s.v. "Honor"; Gesta Romanorum, ed. Oesterley, 251, ed. Herrtage, p. 294; Shakespeare, Merchant of Venice, ii. 1, 7, 9; iii. 2; Jacques de Vitry, Exempla, ed. Crane, xlvii.; Bozon, Contes moralistes, ed. Toulmin Smith and Meyer, lxxxiv.

Literature.—Braunholtz, ut supra. (Cf. Reviews by Zingarelli, Arch. Tradiz. Popol, iii. 143-6; Brandes, Anglia, viii. 24-6; Varnhagen, Deuts. Lit. Zeit. 1885,
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p. 17; Giorn. Lett. Ital., iii. 142; Bolte, Jahresber. German. Philol., vi. 116); Simrock, Quellen, iii. 201; Landau, Quellen; Benfey, Pants, i. 407; Oesterley, Herrtage, Crane, ii, cc.; Kuhn, 74-5.]

V.b. Bird and Fisherman.

A bird saw a fisherman drawing a fish to land, and pounced down upon it and swallowed it. But soon the fish-hook caught in its throat, and the fisherman began to pull it in. With difficulty the bird freed itself; but henceforth it dared not swallow any fish, for fear of a similar danger, and thus died of hunger.

[Only in Heb. ix., but probably in original, since certainly Indian. (Cf. Hipotad., iv. 101; Benfey, Pants, i. 227.]

V.c. The Sower.

When the sower sows his seed some falls on the highway, where the passengers tread it under foot. Others are blown away by the wind. Others picked up by the birds. Some seeds fall on rocky ground, and grow only till the roots reach the rock. Others fall among the thistles. Only a small portion falls in rich earth, where it grows and brings forth fruit. The sower is the Sage; the seed is his wisdom. The seeds that fall by the wayside, &c., are pieces of wisdom that come into one ear only to go out of the other. Those falling on rocky ground are not taken to heart. Those among thorns meet
with opposition from the senses. Only that which takes root in the heart brings forth fruit in the character.

[Occurrences in Barlaam.—In Arab., Georg., and Heb. c. x., and Gr.; probably, therefore, in the original in some form, but the details are from the New Testament.

Source.—Parable of Sower, Matt. xiii. 3; Mark iv. 3; Luke viii. 5.

Parallel.—Sutta Nihata, tr. Fausböll, pp. 1–5. (Cf. Carus, Gospel of Buddha, § 74.)]

VI.a. The Man in the Well.

Z. viii., L. p. 93.

A man saw a raging unicorn, and flying from him fell into a pit. But as he fell he caught hold of a branch which saved him from falling to the bottom, while he rested his feet upon a projecting stone. Looking about him he saw two mice, one white and one black, gnawing at the root of the branch which he was holding, while at the bottom of the well he saw a fiery dragon, and near the stone on which his feet rested, a serpent, with four heads. But just at this moment he noticed on the branch he was holding a few drops of honey trickling down, and forgetting the unicorn, the dragon, the snakes, and the mice, he directed his whole thoughts how he might obtain the sweet honey.

Now the unicorn is death, the well is the world, full of manifold evil, the two mice are the night
and the day which eat away the branch of life, while the four serpents are the four elements of man’s body, and the fiery dragon represents hell. The few drops of honey, the pleasures of this world.

[Occurrences in Barlaam.—In Arab., Georg., and Gr., therefore in Indian original.


Literature.—Kuhn in Festgruss an Otto von Bohtinck, pp. 68-76, with addenda in Barlaam, 76-7; Oesterley, Crane, ut supra; Toulmin Smith and Meyer on Bozon, p. 239 seq.; Landau, Quellen, 222 seq.; Clouston, ut supra; Knust in Jahrb. rom. eng. Litt., vi. 36 seq.; Gott. Gel. Anz., 1867, p. 1299 seq.; Benfey, Pants, i. 81, ii. 528; Jacobs, ut supra.

VI.b. The Three Friends.

Z. ix., L. p. 95, C. 438.

A man once had three friends, two of whom he loaded with gifts and friendly acts; the third he neglected. One day he was seized and brought before the King, who ordered him to find security for a great sum. He went to his first friend, who told him he could only give him a single garment. And the second said he would accompany him a little way to the King, but then had to return to his own house. As a last resort he went to the third friend, and begged him to forgive his negligence and help him in his strait. But the third friend received him kindly, and said he would go before to the King and try and rescue him out of the hands of his enemies.

The first friend is wealth; the second, wife and children; the third, good works.

[Occurrences in Barlaam.—In Arab., Georg., and Gr. (Z. ix., L. p. 95), therefore in the original.

Indian Original.—Not yet discovered, though Kuhn,
p. 78, gives several Indian proverbs in which good works are called the only friends that accompany you into the next life.


Literature.—Goedeke, *Every Man*, *Homulus und Hecastus, Ein Beitrag zur internationalen Litteraturgeschichte*; Logeman, Levi, Steinschneider, Oesterley, Crane, *ut supra.*]
VI.c. The King of the Year.

Z. x., L. p. 98, C. 441.

It was the custom in a certain country to select a stranger to rule over them each year, who for that time had full power and enjoyed all the treasures of the kingdom, but at the end of the year he was stript of all his wealth and power and banished to a desolate island. But on one occasion the King of the year learnt his future fate, and in anticipation sent to the island a large amount of treasure, clothing, food, and all necessities and luxuries, so that he wanted for nothing when the time came for his banishment.

[Occurrences in Barlaam.—In Arab., Georg., Heb., and Gr. (Z. x., L. p. 98), therefore in original.

Parallels.—Talmud, Baba; Bathra, 11b; Dhammapada, 25, 235-8; Matt. vi. 19, 20.

Derivates.—Bechail ibn Pakuda, Choboth Halebboth (in Arab., ed. Zotenberg, p. 90); Jacques de Vitry, Exempla, ix.; Legenda aurea, 180; Stephen de Borbone, Hubert de Roman; Vincent Bellovae, Hist., xv. 17; Mor., II., i. 4; Junior, Scala celi, 21b.; Bromyard, Summa, O., i. 4; Specul. Exempl., iv. 18; Manuel, Conde Lucanor, 49; Libro de Enxemplos, 310; Svenskt Legendar., 616; Peregrinus, Sermones, ix., post Pent.; Paratus, Sermones, ii.; Magn. Specul. Exempl. s.v. "Mundus;" Gesta, ed. Oesterley; Gallensis, Communiaquium, VII., i. 5; Selcentroist, f. 14; Brit. Mus. MS., Add. 26,770, f. 78; 11,284, f. 78; Langbein, Werke, vii. 216-9.

Literature.—Oesterley, Crane, Goedeke, 205; Weisslovits, 154-60; Kuhn, 79, 80; Köhler, Jahrb. Rom. Eng. Litt., ii. 22; Cassel, Aus Litteratur und Symbolik, 177.]
VI.d. *Dogs and Carrion.*

Dogs are quarrelling about some carrion, when a stranger passes by. They immediately turn upon him and attack him altogether, though he has no desire to interfere with their prey.

The carrion is riches, the dogs worldly people, and the stranger the pious hermit.

*[Occurrences in Barlaam.—Only found in Heb., c. xxiii., and Arab., in all three forms of it. (Cf. Rehatsek, p. 140.)*]

VI.e. *The Cannibal King.*

A king is forced to flee with wife and children before the enemy. One of the children dies, and they are forced to eat him. So the pious eat from necessity, while others eat with appetite.

*[Occurrences in Barlaam.—In Heb. c. xii., and Arab. (cf. Reh., p. 149), not in Gr., which probably omitted it for aesthetic reasons.*


*Literature.—*Kuhn, 21; Cassel, 227; Weisslovits, 87; Benfey, l.c.]

VI.f. *The Sun of Wisdom.*

Wisdom is like the sun, which shines everywhere and upon all. Yet we cannot always see it, because some have weak sight, and cannot bear its brilliance; others are blind, and cannot see at all.

*[Occurrences in Barlaam.—In Heb., c. xv., and Arab.; only slight traces in Gr.*

*Literature.—*Kuhn, 21; Weisslovits, 94.*]
VI.g. The King and Shepherd.

A king hunting invites a shepherd to eat with him in the heat of the day.

Shepherd. "I cannot eat with thee, for I have already promised another greater than thee."

King. "Who is that?"

Shepherd. "God, who has invited me to fast."

King. "But why fast on such a hot day?"

Shepherd. "I fast for a day still hotter than this."

King. "Eat to-day, fast to-morrow."

Shepherd. "Yes, if you will guarantee that I shall see to-morrow."

[Occurrences in Barlaam.—Only in Heb., c. xvi., probably from Mahomedan source.
Literature.—Weisslovits, 97, 101.]

VI.h. The Bird and the Prophet.

A bird, fearing for the safety of its eggs, placed them in the nests of other birds. When the storm arose and the waves approached, it went to the various nests and uttered its cry. Its young ones recognised it and flew away with it, while the other fledglings remained to be destroyed.

So a prophet summons the faithful, who alone are saved from destruction by recognising his voice.

[Occurrences in Barlaam.—In Heb., c. xix., and Arab.
Literature.—Weisslovits, 109.]
There was once a king good in everything, except that he was wanting in faith. His vizier desired to cure him of his disbelief, and one night went out with him into the city. Seeing a light in a hut, they looked through and saw a poor couple, clothed in rags, but enjoying themselves with dancing and singing. Then the King asked, "How is it that you and I, so rich in honour and wealth, have never enjoyed so much pleasure as these fools?"

"Why, what do you think of their life, O King?" answered the vizier.

"More wretched, unhappy, and horrid than any I have ever seen," answered the King.

"Then," said the Vizier, "Know, O King, this our life, even of us more fortunately placed of men, seems but as their life in the eyes of the Most High. Only those who seek imperishable wealth are truly happy. And that wealth is, belief in our Lord and Saviour."

[Occurrences in Barlaam.—In Arab., Georg., Heb., and Gr. (Z. xi., L. p. 113).

Indian Original.—Unknown, but the happy pair seem to belong to the caste of Mehter (cf. Rehatsek, p. 145, l.c.; Kuhn, 22 n.).

Derivates.—Jacques de Vitry, Exempla, ed. Crane, 78; Wright, Latin Stories, 4; Libro de Enxemplos, 288; Suchomlinoff, Cyrill of Turoff (Russ, 1858), pp. 50-3 (cf. Kuhn, 74 n.).]
VII.a. i. The Swimmer.

A swimmer and his friend went bathing together. The friend got out of his depth, and the swimmer feared that he would drown, but as he went to his assistance, he had the further fear that his friend would seize him and cause them both to drown. But nevertheless he went near, and by inducing his friend to make the appropriate motions saved them both.

[In Arabic only, Reh. 147.]

VII.b. The Rich Young Man and the Beggar’s Daughter.

Z. xii., L. p. 117, C. 444.

A rich merchant once desired to betroth his son to a wealthy, beautiful, and well-born girl. He, however, refused the match, and fled from his father’s house. During his journey he entered a poor man’s hut to shelter himself from the heat. There he saw the daughter of the house working with her hands and praising God for His goodness. Asking her why she was so grateful, she replied—“Because the good God has given me the chance of entering Paradise.” Struck by this answer, the young man desires to marry her, but her father would not consent till the young man agreed to put aside his rich clothing and live their life. He does so, and for a time assists in the work of the house, till at last the father is convinced of his
sincerity and betroths him to his daughter, and then shows him a hidden treasure which he gives to him.

[Occurrences in Barlaam.—In Arab., Georg., Heb., and Gr. (Z. xii., L. p. 113), therefore in original. The Halle MS. of the Arab. omits. Parallels.—Cf. Percy Ballads, King Cophetua.]

VII.b. ii. Education by Love.

A king had a son who grew up coarse and thoughtless, ill-bred, and undignified. All the learned men of the kingdom tried in vain to improve him. One day his teacher appeared before the King and announced a new misfortune, the Prince had fallen in love. When the King heard this he gave his mantle to the teacher, and thanked him for the good news. Summoning the girl to him, he instructed her to refuse to have anything to do with the Prince till he behaved in a more dignified and well-bred manner. Accordingly the Prince set himself to improve his manners, and soon became a model of propriety.

[Occurrences in Barlaam.—Only in Heb., c. xviii., where it is inserted in VII.b. Parallel.—Boccaccio, Dec., V. i.; Dryden, Cimon and Iphigenia’ (cf. Steele, “To love her was a liberal education”). Literature.—Weisslovits, 108; Kuhn, 43; Landau, Quellen, 103.]
VII.c. Man and Bird.


A man caught a nightingale, which promised him three precious pieces of advice if he would let him free. He agreed; whereupon the nightingale said, "Do not attempt the impossible. Regret nothing that is past. Believe no improbable tale." The man then let the nightingale free. He, desiring to test him, cried, "Fool, you little know what treasure you have lost. I have within me a pearl as large as an eagle's egg." The man, full of greed, tried to entice the nightingale within his door again, promising to let her go free. The nightingale said, "Now I see what use you will make of my three pieces of advice. I told you never to regret what was past, and yet you are sorry that you let me go free. I advised you not to try the impossible, and yet you are attempting to get me again within your power. I told you never to trust the improbable tale, and yet you believed me when I said that I had within me a pearl greater than my whole body."

[Occurrences in Barlaam.—In Arab., Georg., Heb., and Gr., therefore in original.

Indian Original.—Cf. Benfey, Pants, i. 380.
Parallels.—Tutinameh, tr. Iken, vii. 46; tr. Rosen, i. 137 (cf. Benfey, l.c.).


*Literature.*—Oesterley, Crane, Benfey, Jacobs, Paris, Schick, *il. cc.*

VII.c. ii. *The Tyrannical King.*

There was once a king who made every one tremble around him. One day a servant, when handing him the soup, from fear spilt a little. Before the King could express his rage, the servant emptied the whole tureen. “Why did you do that?” said the King. “I knew, my Lord,” said the servant, “that you would punish me severely for my first small fault, and thereby lose dignity in the eyes of your people, so I therefore arranged to do something worth the punishment I saw forthcoming.” Thereupon the King forgave him, and became less tyrannical in future.

*[Occurrences in Barlaam. — Only in Heb., c. xxiv.]*

VII.d. *Desert and Garden.*

There is a desert full of robbers and beasts of prey. In the midst is a garden with a wall too
high to be scaled; on the other side is a sea of poison, over which blows a fiery simoon.

The desert is the world, the garden represents the joys of the faithful, the sea the misery of the wicked.

[Occurrences in Barlaam.—In Arabic, Reh., 151.]

VII.e. Language of Animals.

A man once learnt the language of animals, but on condition that if he betrayed the secret he would have to die. One night he heard an ass recommend an ox to feign illness so as to escape work, whereat he laughed aloud. His wife wished to know why he laughed, but he would not tell her. Next day, when the ox pretended to be ill he ordered the ass to do its work; and at night, when the ass returned, he told the ox that he was to be slain on the morrow if he were not better. Whereat the man laughed again. His wife was eager to know why he laughed, even though he told her it would be his death to let her know. "Either your death or mine," said the wife, "for I will not eat till I know;" so the man agreed to let her know the next day, and prepared for his death. All was sadness in the house, even the dogs would not eat their food, but a cock and his wives pecked away merrily, till one of the dogs said, "Do you not know our master is to die to-day?" "More fool he," said the cock; "I can rule ten wives." "What can you do?" said the
dog. "Take a stick to her," said the cock, "and I'll warrant she won't want to know his secrets." The man, who had heard this, followed the cock's advice, and saved his life.

[Occurrences in Barlaam.—Only in Heb., c. xxiv., where the language is taught by King Solomon, but certainly Indian in origin.]

* Indian Original.—Harivanso, 1274 seq. (tr. Benfey, Or. u. Occ., ii. 148); Ramayana, II., xxxv. 15 seq.; Tamel, Vedala Cadai (tr. Rabington, Misc. Trans., i. 55).
* Parallels.—Æsop, ed. Halm, 18 (cf. Fraser, Arch. Rev., i. 81-91, 161-181).
* Derivates.—Tutinameh, tr. Rosen., ii. 236; Peter Alfonsi, Disc. cler., ii. 7; Arabian Nights, First tale in all versions; Gesta Romanorum, ed. Oesterley, qy. Wuk, Volk's Märchen der Serben, iii. (Denton, Serbian Folk-tales; Leger, Contes Slaves, xi.; Krauss, Sagaen der Süd Slaven, I. xciii.); Nonthu Kpakaranam in Zeits. f. Ethn., i. 152; Morlini, Novelle, lxxi.; Straparola, xii. 3; Landes, Contes Annamites, xchix.; Radloof, Proben, vi. 250 seq. (man dies); Schreck, Finn. Märchen; Koelle, Afric. Nat. Lit. (Grimm, Hunt, ii. 541); Raymond Lully, Libre de Maravelles, VII., vi. 42; Reinisch, Sahosprache, i. 109 seq.

* Literature.—Benfey, Märchen von der Tiersprache in Orient und Occident, ii. 133-71 (add. Klein Schrift, ii. 234-6); J. G. Fraser, Language of Animals, ut supra; Steinschneider, Manna, 101 seq.; Arch. Slav. Phil., vii. 318, 515; Z.D.M.G., xlvi. 402; Kuhn, 81.]

VII.1. The Robbers' Nemesis.

Two swindlers plotted to rob a stranger merchant of his money. They brought him jewels to a feast, but intended to rob him of them; but
each envying the other his share in the booty, secretly put poison in his food, so they both died, and the merchant was saved.

[Occurrences in Barlaam.—Only in Heb., c. 27, but certainly Indian.

Indian Original.—Vedabbha Jataka, ed. Cowell, No. 48, i. 121-4, Kashmir version, tr. Knowles, in Orientalist, i. 52-60.

Parallels.—Cosquin, Contes de Lorraine, No. xxx. (Cf. notes i. 287-8.)


Literature.—H. D. Francis, Vedabbha Jataka compared with the Pardonere’s Tale, Camb., 1884, 8vo, pp. 12; R. Morris, Cont. Rev., 1881, i. 738; Academy, 22nd Dec. 1883, 12th Jan. 1884; Tawney in Jour. Phil., 212-8; Clouston, Pop. Tales, i. 379-406; Chaucer Society, Originals, 129-34, 415-36; Skeat, Chaucer, iii. 439-45; Grünbaum, Neue Beiträge, 279-82; Kuhn, 82.]

IX.a. The Tame Gazelle.

Z. xiii., L., p. 130, C. 446.

A rich man had once a young gazelle. As it grew up it began to long for the wilderness. So one day it went and joined a herd of wild gazelles,
but came back at night. And henceforth it used to join the herd every day. This at last was noticed. And the servants of the rich man followed it on horseback, killed many of the wild gazelles, and drove back the tame one, which they ever afterwards kept chained up.

[Occurrences in Barlaam.—In Arab., Georg., and Gr. (Z. xiii., L. p. 130, C. 446). Not in Hebrew, which substitutes “Greedy Hound,” ix.b. q.v.]

IX.b. The Greedy Dog.

In two neighbouring cities a marriage was to be held on one and the same day. A greedy dog who knew of this determined to attend both wedding breakfasts. He set off early for one town, but arrived too late, and when he went to the other the feasting was over, and he only got blows.

[Occurrences in Barlaam.—Only in Heb., c. xvii., where it is substituted for “The Tame Gazelle.”
Parallels.—Æsop, Dog and Shadow (Caxton, ed. Jacobs, Ro. i. 5).]

X.a. The Two Halves of a King’s Life.

A prince being born during the conjunction of Jupiter and Venus, astrologers prophesy a change in his life. When he succeeds he lives in great splendour till middle age. At a great feast, surrounded by his most costly ornaments, he thinks of looking at himself in the glass, and sees his grey
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hairs, which cause him to devote himself to a life of piety.

[Occurrences in Barlaam.—Only one of the Arab. versions.

Indian Original.—Clearly a variant of the life of Buddha, wherefore Kuhn suggests derived from the Kitab-al-Budd.

Literature.—Hommel, l.c. 166; Kuhn, 15.

X.b. King, Man, and Skull.

A wicked king was bringing his realm to ruin, when a sage came before him and kicked a skull in front of him. Then he took weights and scales and measured out as much dust as would weigh a dihrem, and placed this in the eyes of the skull. On being asked what was the meaning of this action, he said, "This skull was the skull of a king, and he used to pay royal honours to it; but finding it insensible, he then kicked it about to see if it could feel contumely. But as the King had seen, there was no sign of resentment in the skull; and he wanted to know if that could be a king's skull when a dihrem weight of dust could cover the eyes, which, when living, possessed all they saw." The King was struck by the worthlessness of all his possessions, and became converted to piety.

[Occurrences in Barlaam.—Only in one of Arab. versions and in its Persian translation. Probably derived from Alexander Romance.

Parallels.—Talmud, Tamid, 52b (Steinschneider Uebers., 896).

Literature.—Hommel, 167 l.c.; Zacher, Alex. Magni Iter, 1859, p. 17; Hertz, Aristoteles in Alexander
Dichtungen; Eisenmenger, Entdecktes Judenthum, ii. 321; Vogelstein, Adnotationes ad Fabulas de Alex. Magno, 1865, p. 16; Steinschneider, l. c., § 540.

X.c. The Prince who left his Father's House.

A prince, the only son of a king, in the midst of play in his boyhood, took one step and said, "Your fate is to have trouble." Then a second step, and said, "And to become old and feeble." Then a third step, saying, "And then you will die." Astrologers, thereupon, announced that he would become a great saint. And the King put him a guard so he could never be left alone. One day, however, he escapes them, and encounters a funeral, and learns that all men must die. He tells his guard that if this is true they are mad. The astrologers recommend the King to marry the Prince. On the wedding night, the Prince calls for wine for his bride, and when she sleeps rises and leaves her. He finds a companion, and they both take refuge in the castle of another king, where the Princess falls in love with the Prince; but he rejects her overtures and flees. The King has him pursued and brought back. He tells the following parables:—

The Drunken King's Son who fell into a Grave.
The Thieves who stole a Golden Vessel containing Serpents.
The Prince freed from Prison falling into a Pit with Dragons.
The Man who fell among the Ghouls.
By these parables he frees himself, and wanders about converting numbers to the true faith, till at last he comes back to his father, the old King.

[Occurrences in Barlaam.—Only in Bombay form of the Arab. version.
Indian Original.—Clearly a variant of the Buddha legend.
Parallels.—The legend of St. Alexis has the episode of the desertion of the wife on the wedding night.
Literature.—Hommel, 169-72; Kuhn, 15.]

X.c. iv. The Man among the Ghouls.

A ship was shipwrecked on an island inhabited by ghouls, who turned themselves into beautiful maidens to entice the shipwrecked sailors. They lived very happily for some time, till the captain came across an earlier victim of the ghouls, who told him what they were. He also told him that their only chance of escape was from a gigantic bird who visited the island once a year. But the captain is warned, that if he looks back when escaping, he will fall off and be drowned. On the appointed day the sailors intoxicate the ghouls, and perch upon the back of the bird. The ghouls, however, call to the sailors as they depart, and when the captain lands he finds that none of the sailors have survived the voyage.

[Occurrences in Barlaam.—Only in one form of Arab.
Indian Original.—Valahassa Jataka, ed. Fausböll, ii. 127; tr. E. Müller in Pali Grammar, 128 seq.
Parallels.—Myth Sirens (cf. Academy, 13, 27th Aug. i]
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1881); Sindalad Cycle (Clouston, 50, 150, 235), tr. Tawney, i. 60, Katha-Sarit-Sagara.


Literature.—H. Wenzel, A Jataka Tale from Tibetan in J.R.A.S., l.c.; Kuhn, 81; Hommel, 172.

XII.a. The Amorous Wife.

A young man, having married a wife of a passionate temperament, told her whenever she could not restrain her feelings to let down her hair as a signal. It happened that a war broke out, and the young man was summoned to join the army. But just as he was leaving, his wife let down her hair, and the battle was won without him. When he was remonstrated with, he replied, "I had an enemy at home with whom I had to fight."

[Occurrences in Barlaam.—Only in Georg. version, in the conversation between Theudas and the King.

Literature.—Hommel in Weisslovitz, 148.]

XIII.a. The Youth who had never seen a Woman.


A king had a son born to him in his old age, and was warned by his astrologers and physicians that his son would be blind if he ever saw the light before he was twelve years old. Accordingly the King built for him a subterranean chamber, where he was kept till he was past the fatal age. There-
upon he was taken out from his retreat and shown all the beauties of the world, gold and jewels, and arms, and carriages and horses, and beautiful dresses. But seeing some women pass he asked what they might be, and was told, "Demons, who lead men astray." Afterwards the King asked him which of all the beautiful things he had seen he desired most; and the Prince answered, "The demons which lead men astray."

[Occurrences in Barlaam.—In Georg. and Gr. (Z. xiv., L. p. 220), but only in Bombay version of the Arab. text and not at all in Heb., yet clearly in original (see next section).

Indian Original.—Story of Rshyasrnga in Mahabharata, iii. 9999; and Ramayana, I. ix. (cf. Schiefner in Mel. Asiat., viii. 112-6).

Derivates.—R. Basset, Vie d'Abba Yohanni, Texte éthiopien, trad. franc., Algiers, 1884 (not from Ethiopic Barlaam); Jacques de Vitry, Exempla, 82; Wright, Latin Stories, 3, cf. 78; Libro de Enxemplos, 231; Scala celii, f. 15.b.; Prompt. Exempl. L. xxiv.; Boccaccio, Decameron, Day IV.; Zambrini, Libro di Novelle.

Literature.—Crane, l.c.; Landau, Quellen, 223; D'Ancona, Studj, 307; Kuhn, 80.]

XIII.b. Peacock and Raven.

A king showed a foreign merchant his treasures, and asked him if there were anything wanting. The merchant said, "Only a peacock," which he described. So the King sent his vizier with a large amount of gold to buy a peacock. But he hid the gold, and dyed a raven, and brought it to
the King. But shortly afterwards the merchant brought two real peacocks as a present to the King. The vizier maintained that his was the authentic bird. Whereupon the merchant poured hot water on the raven, which changed colour at once. The same test applied to the peacocks only made them more beautiful. So the King honoured the merchant and punished the vizier.

The merchant is Buddha, the vizier an idolater, the peacock belief in God, the raven heresy.

[Occurrences in Barlaam.—Only in Bombay text of Arab., but certainly Indian (see next section).
  Indian Original. — Bavuru Jataka, tr. Morris in Folklore Journal, iii. 124.
  Parallel.—Æsop, Daw in Peacock’s Feathers (cf. Caxton, Ro. ii. 15, and note i. 77 n).
  Literature.—Kühn, 31; Jacobs, l.c.]
The Lyf of Saynt balaam.
Here followeth of Balaam the Hermyte.

BALAAM of whome saynt Johan damascene made the hyforye with grete dyligence. In whome deuyne grace so wroughte that he converted to the saythe saynt Josaphat & thenne as al ynde was ful of cryften peple & of monkes ther aroos a puyssaunt kyng wiche was named anemyr whiche made grete perfecucion to cryften men & specyally to monkes & it happed so that one whiche was frende of the kyng & chyef in his paleys by the Inspiracion of deuyne grace lefte the halle ryal for to entre in to the ordre of monkes & whan the kyng herd say that he was cryften he was wode for angre and dyd so seche hym thorugh euery deserte til that he was soode with grete payne & thenne he was brought tofore hym & whan he sawe hym in a vyle cote & moche lene for hongre whyche was wonte to be couerd with precious clothynge and ha-bounded in moche riches & sayd to hym o thou fole & out of thy mynde why haft thou chaunged
chaunged thyn honour in to vylonye/ & arte made the player of children/ and he sáid to hym yf thou wylt here of me refon/ put ffo the thyn enemyes/ thenne the kyng demaúded hym who were his enemyes/ & he sáid to hym yre & couetyfe/ for they empeßhe & lette/ that trouthe may not be seen/ ne to aßaye prudence/ and equyte To whom the kyng sáid/ lete it be as thou fayyst/ & that other sáid/ the foles despyße the thynges that ben/ lyke as they were not/ and he that hath not the tast of the thynges that ben/ he shall not vse the swetnesse of them/ & may not lerne the trouthe of them that been not/ and whan he had shewyd many thynges of the mysterye of thyncarnacion/ the kyng sáyd to hym yf I had not promysted the atte begynnynge that I shold put aweye yre fro my councelyl I sholde caste thy bodye in to the fyre/ Goo thy weye and flee fro myn eyen that I see the nomore/ & that I now dyßtreße the not/ and anone the man of god wente his waye al heuyly/ by cause he had not suffred marterdom/ 

Thus thenne in this mene whyle it happyd that the kyng whiche had noo chylde/ ther was a fayr fone borne of his wyf/ and was callyd Josaphat & the kyng assemblyd a righte grete companye of peple for to make sacrefyße to his goddes for the natuyyte of his fone/ & also assemblyd
assemblyd lv astronomyens/ of whom he en-
queryred what shold befall of his sone/ & they
sayd to hym that he shold be grete in power &
in riches/v & one more wyse than another
sai/d/ fyrd this childe that is born thal not be in
thy reyne/ but he shal be in another moche
better without comparyson/ and know thou that
I suppos[e] that he shal be of crysten relygyon/
which thou persecutest/ & that sayd not he of
hym self/ but he sayd it by Insypracion of god/
And whan the kynge herde that he doubted
moche and dyd do make without the cyte a
ry3t noble paleys/ and therein sette he hys sone
for to dwell and abyde/ and sette right sayre
yongelynges/ and commanded them that they
shold not speke to hym of deth/ ne of old
age/ ne of sekenes/ ne of pouerte ne of no
thynge that may gyue hym cause of heuynes
but say to hym alle thynges that ben ioyous/
so that hys minde may be espringed with glad-
nes/ & that he thynke on no thynge to come/
and anone as ony of his servauntes were seke/
The kynge commaunded for to take hem
aweye/ and sette another hool in hys fede/
and commaunded that no mencyon shold be
made to hym of Jhesu cryste/ In that tyme
was wyth the kynge a man whych was secreteely
crysten/ and was chyef emonge alle the noble
prynces
prynces of the kynge/ and as he wente on a
tyme to hunte wyth the kynge/ he fond a
pour man lyeng on the grounde/ whiche was
hurte on the foot of a beeft/ whyche prayed
that he wold receyue hym/ and that he myght
of hym be holpen by somme meane/ and the
knyght sayd I shal receyue the gladly/ but I
wote not how thou mayst doo ony prouffyte/
And he sayd to hym I am a leche of wordes/
& yf ony be hurte by wordes I can wel gyue
hym a medecyne/ and the knyght sette it at
noughte all that he sayd/ but he receyued hym
only for goddes fake/ and helyd hym and
thenne prynces enuyous and malycyous fawe
that this prynce was foo grete and gracyous
with the kynge accused hym to the kynge/
and sayd that he was not onely torned to the
cryften feythe/ but enforced to withdrawe fro
hym his royame/ and that he moeyd and
folycyted the companye and councelld theym
thereto/ And yf thou wylt know it sayd they/
thenne calle hym secretylye/ and say to hym
that this lyf is done doon/ and therfore thou
wylte leue the glorye of the worlde and of thy
royame and affirme that thou wylt take the
habyte of monkes/ whome thou haft foo per-
secuted by ygnoraunce/ and after thou shalt see
what he shal anfwer and whan the kynge had
doone
doon alle lyke as they had sayd/ the knyghte that knewe noo thyng of the treason beganne to wepe and prayfed moche the counceyll of the kynge/ and remembryd hym of the vanyte of the world and counceylled hym to doo it as sone as he myght/ and whan the kynge herde hym faye foo/ he supposéd it had been trewe that the other had sayd to hym how be it he sayd no thynge/ & thenne he vnderstood and apperceyued that the kyang had taken his worudes in euyl and wente and tolde al this vnto the leche of worudes alle by ordre/ and he sayd to hym/ knowe thou for trouthe that the kynge feryth that thou wylte assaylle his royame/ aryfe thou to morowe/ and shaue of thyn heer and doo of thy vestements/ and clothe the in hayr in manere of a monke/ and goo erlye to the kynge/ whan he shal demande the what thou meneft/ thou shalt answere/ my lord kyng I am redy to follow the/ For yf the waye by whyche thou defyreft to goo be harde yf I be with the it shal be the lyghter to the/ and lyke as thou haft had me in prosperyte/ fo shalt thou have me in aduersyte/ I am al redy/ wherfore taryest thou/ and whan he had thys doon and sayd by ordre/ the kynge was abaffhed & repreuyd the falsé men/ and dyd to hym more honoure thenne he dyd before/ and after thys
thys the kynges fone that was nouryshhed in
the paleys came to age and grewe and was
playnely taught in al wyfdom/ and he mer-
uaylled wherfore hys fader had so enclosed
hym/ and called one of hys fervuauntes whiche
was more famylyer wyth hym secretely/ &
demaunded hym of this thynge/ and sayd to
hym that he was in grete heuyneffe that he
myght not goo oste/ And that his mete ne
drynke fauerid hym not ne dyd hym no good/
and whan hys fader herde this/ he was ful of
forowe/ and anone he lete do make redy horses
and joyeful felawshyp to accompanye hym in
suche wyse that no thynge dyfhoneste shold
happen to hym & on a tyme thus as the kynges
fone wente he mette a mesel and a blynde man/
and whan he sawe them he was abasfhed/ &
enquyred what them ayled and his fervuauntes
sayd thyse ben passyons that comen to men/
and he demaunded yt the passyons comen to
all men/ and they sayd nay/ Thenne sayd he
ben they knowen which men shal suffre thyse
passyons/ without dyffynicion/ and they anfwerd
who is he that may knowe thataduentures of
men/ and he begun to be moche anguysshous
for the Incustomable thynge herof/ & another
tyme he fonde a man moche aged whiche had
his chere frounced/ his teth fallen & was al
croked
croked for age/ wherof he was abasihed and said he defyred to knowe the myracle of thys vyfyon/ and when he knewe that thys was by cause he had lyued many yerys/ and thenne he demaunded what shold be the ende/ and they sayd dethe/ and he sayd/ is then the dethe the ende of alle men or of somme and they sayd for certeyn that alle men muft deye/ And when he knewe that alle sholde deye/ he demaunded them in how many yerys that shold happene/ and they sayd in olde age of four score yere or an hondred/ and after that age the dethe followeth/ and thys yonge man remembryd ofte in hys herte thyse thynges/ and was in grete dyscomforte/ but he shewyd hym moche glad tofore his fader/ and he defyred moche to be enformed and taughte in thyse thynges/ 

And thenne there was a monke of parfyte lyf and good opynyon that dwellyd in the deserte of the londe of Sennaar named balaam/ And thys monke knewe by the holy ghooft what was done aboute this kynges fone/ and toke the abbyte of a marchaunte/ and came vnto the cytee and spake to the greteft gouernour of the kynges fone/ and sayd to hym I am a marchaunte and haue a precyous ftone to selle whyche gyueth fyght to blynde men/
& heryng to deef men Hyt maketh the dombe to speke/ and gyueth wysedom to fooles/ and therfore brynge me to the kynges sone and I shal delyuer it to hym/ To whom he sayd thou seemest a man of prudente nature but thy wordes accorde no thynge to wysedom / Neuer-thelesse yf I had knowleche of that sone/ shewe it me/ and yf it be suche as thou sayest/ and so proued/ thou shalt haue right grete honours of the kynges sone/ To whome balaam sayd/ my sone hath yet suche vertue/ that he that seeth it/ and hath none hool fyght and kepeth not entyer chaftyte/ yf he happelye sawe it/ the vertue vysyble that he hath he shold lese it/ and I that am a phyfycyen see wel that thou haft not thy fyght hoole/ but I vnder-stonde that the kynges sone is chaftte and hath ryght faire eyen and hoole/ And thenne the man sayd yf it be so shewe it not to me/ For myn eyen ben not hoole/ and am foule of synne/ and balaam sayd thys thynge apperteyneth to the kynges sone/ and therfore brynge me to hym anone/ and he anone tolde this to the kynges sone/ and broughte hym anone in/ And he receyued hym honourably/ and thenne balaam sayd to hym/ thou haft doon wel/ for thou haft not taken hede of my lytelsenese that apperyth withoutforth/ but thou haft doon lyke
vnto a noble kynge/ whyche whan he rood in
his chaar cladde wyth clothes of gold and mette
wyth poure men whiche were cladde wyth torne
clothes/ And anone he sprange out of his
chare/ and fyl doun to their feet and wor-
thypped theym/ and his barons toke thys euyl/
and were aferde to repreue hym therof/ but
they sayd to hys brother how the kynge had
doon thynge ageynft hys ryal magestie/ and hys
brother repreuyd hym therof/ and the kynge
had suche a cuftome that whan one fhold be de-
lyuerd to deth/ the kynge fholde fende hys cryar
wyth hys trompe that was ordeyned therto/

And on the euen he fente the cryr wyth the
 trompe before hys brothers gate/ and made to
fowne the trompe and whan the kynges brother
herde thys/ He was in dyspayr of sauynge of
hys lyf/ and coude not flepe of all the nyght
and made hys testamente/ and on the morne
erlye he cladde hym in blacke/ and came
wepyne wyth his wyf and chyldren to the
kynges paleys/ and the kyng made hym come
tofore hym and sayd to hym/ a fool that thou
arte/ yf thou haftes herde the messager of thy
brother/ to whom thou knowest wel thou haft
not trespassed and doubtest soo moche/ How
ought not I thenne doubt the messagers of
our lord/ ageynft whome I haue soo ofte
synned /
fynned / whyche fygneyed vnto me more clerely
the dethe thenne the trompe / and shewed to me
horrible comyng of the Juge / & after this he
dyd doo make foure cheftys / and dyd doo couer
two of them with golde without forthe / and dyd
doo fylle them wyth boones of deed men and of
fylthe / And the other two he dyd doo pytche/
And dyd doo fylle theym wyth precyous ftones
and ryche gemmys / And after thys the kynge
dyd doo calle his grete barons by caufe he knew
wel that they compleyned of hym to his brother /
and dyd doo fette thyfe foure cheftys tofore
them and demaunded of them which were mofte
precious and they sayd that the two that were
gylte / were moost of valewe / Thenne the kynge
commaunded that they shold be opened / and
anone a grete ftenche yffued out of them and
the kynge sayd they be lyke them that be
clothed wyth precious veflementes / and been
ful wythinforth of ordue and of fynne and
after he made opene the other / and there yffued
a meruayllous swete odour / and after the kyng
sayd / thyse been femblable to the poure men
that I mette and honoured / for though they be
clad of foule veftymens / yet fhyne they wythin-
forth with good odour of good vertues / and ye
take none hede but to that wythoutforthe / and
confydere not what is wythin / and thou haft
doon
doon to me lyke as that kyng dyd / For thou haut wel receyued me / and after thys balaam beganne to telle to hym a longe sermone of the creacyon of the world / and of the Day of Juge-mente / and of the waruerde of good and euyl / and began strongelye to blame them that wor-thyp ydolles / and told to hym of theyr follye such an examuple as followeth sayeng/ That an archer toke a lytel byrde callyd a nyghtyngale/ and whan he wold haue flayne thys nyghtyngale ther was a voys giuen to the nyghtyngale whyche sayd / O thou man what fhold it auayle the yf thou flee me / Thou mayfte not fylle thy bely wyth me / but and yf thou wylt lete me goo / I fhal teche the thre wyfedomes / that yf thou kepe them dylygentely / thou mayft haue grete prouffite thereby / Thenne he was abafflied of his wordes / and promyfeth that he wold lete hym goo / yf he wold telle hym his wyfdomes / Thenne the byrde sayd / studye neuer to take that thynges that thou mayft not take / & of thynges lofte / whiche may not be recoeryd / forowe neuer therfore / ne byleue neuer thyng that is Incredyble / Kepe wel thyfe thre thynges / and thou fhalte doo wel / and thenne he lete the byrde goo as he had promyfeth / and thenne the nyghtyngale fleyng in the ayer sayd to hym / alas thou wretched man
man thou hast had euyl councelyl / for thou hast loste thys day grete trefour / For I haue in my bowellys a precyous margaryte / whyche is gretter than the egge of an ostryche / and he herde that / he was moche wroth and forowed fore by cause he had leten hir goo / and enforced hym al that he coude to take hyr ageyne sayeng / Come ageyn to my hows / and I shal shew to the al humanyte / and gyue to the alle that shal nede the / and after shal lete the goo honourably / where as thou wylte Thenne sayd the nyghtyngale to hym Now I knowe wel that thou art a foole / fore thou hast no prouffytye in the wysedoms that I haue sayd to the / For thou art ryght forowful for me whome thou hast loste / whyche am Irrecuperable / and yet thou weneft to take me / where thou mayft not come so hyghe as I am / and furthermore where thou beleueft to be in me a precyous stone more thenne the egge of an ostrytch / whan alle my body may not atteyne to the gretenesse of suche an egge / And in lyke wyse be they foolys that adoure and truft in ydolles / for they worship that whiche they haue made / and calle theym whome they haue made kepars of them / and after he beganne to dyspute ageynfte the fallace of the world and delite and vanyte thereof / and broughte forth many enfaamples and sayd / They
They that delyte the delytes corporalle/ and suffre their fowles deye for hungre/ ben lyke to a man that fledde tofore an vnycorn that he shold not deuoure hym/ and in flynyng/ he fyl in to a grete pytte/ and as he fyl he caughte a braunche of a tre with his hande/ and sette his feet vpon a flydyng place/ and thenne two myfè that one whyte/ and that other blacke whyche wythoute ceffyng gnewe the rote of the tree/

And had almoyste gnawen it a sondre And he fawe in the bottom of thys pytte an horryble dragon caffynge fyre and had his mouthe opene and desyre to deuoure hym/ vpon the flynyng place on which his feet stooed/ he fawe the heedes of foure serpentes whyche yffueden there/ and thenne he lefte vpon his eyen and fawe a lytel hony that henge in the bowes of the tre/ & forgat the perylle that he was in/ and gaue hym al to the swetenes of that lytel hony/ the vnychorne is the fygure of deth/ which continuelly foloweth man/ and desyreth to take hym/ The pytte is the world whiche is ful of al wyckednesse/ the tree is the lyf of euery man/ whiche by the two myfè that ben the day and nyght & the houres therof Inceffantly been wafted and approched to the cuttyng or gnaw-nyng a fondre/ the place where the iiiij serpentes
where is the body ordeyned by the foure elementes / by whiche the ioynture of the membrys is corupte in bodyes dyshordynate / The orrible dragon is the mouthe of helle whiche desyreth to deououre al creatures / The swetenes of the hony in the bowes of the tree / is the faile deceuyuable delectacyon of the world by whiche man is deceuyed / so that he taketh no hede of the perylle that he is in / and yet he sayd that they that loue the worlde ben semblable to a man that had thre frendes / of whiche he loued the fyrfte as moche as hym self/ and he louyd the second leffe thenne hym self/ & louyd the thyrd a lytel or nought / and it happed so that this man was in grete perylle of his lyf/ and was somoned tofore the kynge / thenne he ranne to hys fyrfte frende/ and demaunded of hym hys helpe / and tolde to hym how he had alweye louyd hym/ to whome he sayde/ I haue other frendes with whom I muft be this day / and I wote not who thou arte/ therfore I may not helpe the/ yet neuertheleffe I shal gyue to the two floppes wyth whyche thou mayft couer the/ and thenne he wente awayne moche forowful/ and wente to that other frende / and requyred alfo his ayde/ and he sayd to hym I may not attende to goo wyth the to thys debate/ for I haue grete charge/ but I shal yet felau-
flyp the vnto the gate of the paleys / & thenne I shal retorne ageyn and doo myn own nedes / and he beyng heuy and as disspayred wente to the thyrde frende and sayd to hym / I haue noo reson to speke to the / ne I haue not loued the as I oughte / but I am in trybulacion and with-oute frendes / and praye the that thou helpe me / and that other sayd wyth glad chere / certes I conffe to be thy dere frende / and haue not forgotten the lytel benefayte that thou haft doon to me / and I shal goo ryght gladly wyth the tofore the kynge / for to see what shal be de-maunded of the and I shal praye the kynge for the / The fyrst frende is possesfyon of richesse For whyche man putteth hym in many perylles / and whan the deth cometh he hath no more of hit but a cloth for to wynde hym for to be buryed / The second frende is hys fones / hys wyf and kynne / whyche goo wyth hym to hys graue / and anone retorne to entende to theyr owne nedes / The thyrd frende is feythe hope and charyte and other good werkys / Whyche we haue doon / that whan we yffue out of our bodyes / they may wel goo tofore vs and praye god for vs / and they may wel delyuer vs fro the deuylles our enemyes / and yet we sayd accordyng to thys / that in a certayn cyte is a cuftome / that they of the cite shal chefe euery yere
yere a straȝge man and vnknowen for to be their prynce/ and they shal gyue hym puyf-saunce to doo what someuer he wy1/ And gouerne the contree wythout ony other confty-tucion/ and he beyng thus in grete delyces/ and wenyng euer to contynue/ sodeynlye they of the cytee shold arys fe ageynfte hym/ and lede hym naked thorugh the cyte/ & after sende hym in to an yle in exyle/

And there he shold fynde neyther mete ne clothe/ but shold be constreyned to be perysfiæd for hungre and colde/

And after that they wolde enhaunce another to the kyngdome/ and thus they dyd longe/ At the lafte they took one whyche knewe their cußome And he sente tofore hym in to that yle grete trefoure wythoute nombre duryng alle hys yere/

And whan his yere was accomplisfiæd and passed/ he was put out and put to exyle lyke the other/ and where the other that had ben tofore hym perysfiæd for colde and hongre/ he habounded in grete rycheffes & delyces/ and this cyte is the world/ and the cytezeyns ben the prynces of derkenesse/ whiche fede vs with fals deleçacyon of the world/ and thenne the deth cometh whan we take none hede/ and that we ben sente in exyle to the place
place of derkenesse/ and the rycheses that ben tofore fente/ ben don by the handes of poure men/ and whan balaam had parfytely taughte the kynges sone/ & wold leue his fader for to folowe hym balaam said to hym yf thou wylte doo thus thou shalt be semblable to a yonge man/ that whan he shold haue weddyd a noble wyf/ he forsoke hyr and fledde aweye/ and came in to a place where as he sawe a virgyn daughter of an olde poure man that laboured/ and preyseyd god with hir mowthe/ To whome he sayd what is that thou doest daughter that arte so poure & alweye thou thankest god like as thou haddest receyued grete thynges of hym/ To whome he sayd/ lyke as a lytel medecyne ofte delyuereth a grete langour and payne/ right so for to gyue to god thankynge alweye of a lytell yefte/ is made a gyuer of grete yeftes for the thynges that ben withoutforth ben not oures/ but they that be wythin vs ben oures/ and therfore I haue receyued grete thynges of god/ for he hath made me lyke to his ymage/ He hath gyuen to me vnderstondyng/ He hath called me to his glorye/ and hath opened to me the yate of his kyngdom and therfor for thyse yeftes it is fyttyng to me to gyue hym prayfynge/ This yonge man seyng hyr prudence axed of hir fader to haue hyr to wyf To whome the
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the fader sayd thou mayst not have my daughter/ for thou arte the fone of ryche and noble kynne/ and I am but a poure man/ but whan he fore defyred hir/ the olde man sayd to hym/ I may not gyue hir to the fyth thou wilt lede hir home in to the hows of thy fader/ for she is myn onelye daughter and haue no moo/ And he faid/ I shal dwelle wyth thee and shal accorde with the in al thynges/ and thenne he dyd of his precyous vesturems/ and dyd on hym the habyte of an olde man/ and soo dwelling with hym toke hir vnto his wyf and when the olde man had longe preuyd hym/ he ladde hym in to hys chambre/ and shewyd to hym grete plente of rycheffes more than he euer had/ and gaue to hym al/ & thenne Josaphat sayd to hym/ thys narracyjon toucheth me couenably/ and I trowe thou haft sayd thys for me/ Now saye to me fader how many yere arte thou olde/ and where conuerfes thou/ For fro the I wyl neuer departe/ To whom balaam sayd/ I haue dwellyd xlv yere in the deserte of the londe of Sennaar/ To whome Josaphat sayd/ thou semest better to be lxx yere/ and he sayd yf thou de-
maunde st alle the yeress of my natuyyte/ thou haft wel effemed them but I accounte not the nombre of my lyf/ them spcelyally that I haue dyfpended in the vanytee of the world/ For I was
was thenne dede toward god and I nombre not the yerys of dethe/ wyth the yerys of lyf/ and whan Jofaphat wold haue folowed hym in to deferte balaam sayd to hym/ ye thou do fo/ I shal not haue thy companye/ and I shal be thenne thanctor of perfecucyon to my brethern/ but whan thou seeft tyme couenable/ thou shalt come to me/ and thenne balaam baptysed the kynges fone/ and enformed hym wel in the feythe/ and after retorned in to his celle/ and a lytel while after the kyng herde faye that hys fone was cryftened/ wherfore he was moche forowful/ and one that was his frende named Arachys recomfortyng hym sayd/ Syr kyng I knowe right well an olde hermyte that re-fembleth moche balaam/ and he is of our feete/ He shal fayne hym as he were balaam/ & shal deffende fyrste the feyth of cryften men/ and after shal leue and retorne fro it/ and thus your fone shal retorne to you/ and thenne the kyng wente in to deferte as it were to feche balaam and toke thys hermyte and fayned that he had taken balaam/ and whan the kynges fone herde that balaam was taken he wepte bytterlye/ but afterwarde he knewe by reueclacyon deuyne that it was not he/ Thenne the kyng wente to his fone and sayd to hym thou haft put me in grete heuynesse/ thou haft dyshonoured myn olde
olde age/ thou haft derked the light of myn eyen/ sone why haft thou doon so/ thou haft forfaken the honour of my goddes and he an-
swerd to hym I haue fledde thee derkenesse/ and am comen to the lyght/ I haue fledde errour & knowe trouthe/ and thersore traualyle the sone
ught/ for thou mayst neuer wythdrawe me fro Jhesu cryste/ For lyke as it is Impossible to the to touche the heuen wyth thy honde/ or for to drye the grete se/ so is it to the
for to chaunge me/ Thenne the fader sayd/ who is cause herof/ but I my self/ that so gloryously haue to nourysshed the/ that neuer fader nourysshed more sone/ For whyche cause thyn euyl wyll hath made the wood ageynst me/ and it is wel ryght/ For the astronymyens in thy natuyte sayd/ that thou sholdest be proude and dyshobedyente thy
parentes/ but and thou now wylte not obeye me/ thou shalte nomore be my sone/ and I shal be thyn enemye for a fader/ and shal do to the that I neuer dyd to myn enemyes/ To whome Jofaphat sayd/ fader wherfore arte thou angry/ by cause I am made a partyner of good thynges/ what fader was euer forowful in the prosperyte of sone/ I shal nomore calle the fader/ but and yf thou be contrarye to me I shal flee the as a serpente/ Thenne
Thenne the kynge departed from hym in grete angre / and sayd to arache his frenede alle the hardnes of his fone and he counceylied the kynge that he shold gyue hym noo sharpe wordes/ for a chylde is better reformed by fayr and swete wordes/ The day folowyng the kynge came to his fone & beganne to clyppe enbrace and kysse hym/ and sayd to hym my ryght swete fone honoure thou myn olde age/ fone drede thy fader/ knowest thou not wel that it is good to obeye thy fader & make hym glad/ and for to doo contrarye it is synne/ and they that angre them synne euyl/ to whome Josaphat sayd there is tyme to loue/ and tyme to hate/ tyme of pees/ and tyme of bataylle/ and we ought in no wyfe loue them/ ne obeye to them that wold put vs aweye fro god be it fader or moder/

And whan hys fader sawe his stedfaftnesse/ he sayd to hym/ sythe I see thy folye and wylte not obeye to me/ Come and we shal knowe the trouth/ For balaam whiche hath deceyu ed the is bounden in my pryson/ and lete vs assemblle our peple wyth balaam/ and I shal fende for alle the galylees/ that they may fauflly come wyth out drede and dyspute/ and yt that ye with yon balaam overcome vs/ we shal byleue and obeye you/ and yt we overcome
overcome you ye shal consente to vs/ and thys pleaedyd wel to we kyng/ and to Josaphat/ and whan they had ordeyned that he that named hym balaam fhold fyrstle defende the feythe of cryfte/ And suffre hym after to be ouercomen and soo were all assemblyd/ Thenne Josaphat torned hym toward nachor whyche fayne hym to be balaam/ and sayd balaam thou knowest wel how thou haftte taughte me/ and yf thou defende the feyth that I haue lerned of the/ I shal abyde in thy doctryne to the ende of my lyf/ and yf thou be ouercomen I shal auenge me anone on the myn Iniurye/ and shal plucke out the tonge out of thyn heed wyth myn handes/ & gyue it to dogges to thende that thou be not so hardy to put a kynges fone in errour/  

And whan nachor herde that he was in grete fere and sawe wel that yf he sayd contrarye he were but dede/ and that he was taken in his owne snare/ and thenne he aduyfed that it were better to take and holde wyth the fone thenne wyth the fader/ For to eschewe the perylle of deth/ For the kynge had sayd to hym tofore them all/ that he fhold defende the feythe hardelye & without drede/ thenne one of the maysters sayd to hym thou arte balaam/ whiche haft deceyued the fone of the kynge/ and he sayd
fayd I am Balaam whyche haue not put the kynges fone in ony error/ but I haue broughte hym out of error/ and thenne the mayyster fayd to hym/ right noble and meauryllous men haue worsypped our goddes/ how dareft thou thenne adrese the ageynst them/ and he an- fwered/ they of caldee/ of egypte/ and of grece haue erryd and fayden that the creatures were goddes/ & the chaldees supposeden that the elementes had ben goddes whiche were created to the prouffyte of men/ and the grekes supposed that cursyd men and tyrauntes had be goddes/ as faturne/ whom they fayd ete his fone/ and Iubyter whiche as they fay ghelldydy his fader & threwe his membrys in to the see/ wherof grewe venus/ and Iubyter to be kynge of the other goddes/ by cause he transormed ofte hym self in lykenesse of a beest/ for to accomplyshe his aduoultrye/ and also they faye that venus is goddesse of aduoultrye/ and somtyme mars is hyr husbond/ and somtyme adonydes/ The egypcyens worsypppe the beeftys/ that is to wete a sheepe/ a calfe/ a fwyne/ or suche other/ and the cryften men worsypppe the fone of the ryght hyghe kynge/ that desceded fro heuen and toke nature hu- mayne/ 

And thenne nachor beganne clerelye to def- fende
fende the lawe of crystен men/ & garnysshed hym wyth many refons/ so that the maysters were al abasshed and wyfte not what to anfwere/ and thenne Josaphat had grete ioye of that/ whiche our lord had deffended the trouthe/ by hym that was enemye of trouthe/ and thenne the kynge was ful of wodenesfe/ and commanded that the councelyl foold departe/ lyke as he wold haue tretyd ageyn on the morne the fame fayte/ Thenne Josaphat sayd to his fader lete my mayster be wyth me thys nyght/ to the ende that we may make our collacion to gyder/ for to make to morowe our anfweres/ and thou shalt lede thy maysters wyth the/ and shalt take councelyl wyth them/ & yf thou lede my mayster wyth the/ thou doest me no ryghte/ wherfore he graunted to hym nachor by caufe he hoped that he shold deceyue hym/ and whan the kynges fone was comen to his chambre/ and nachor with hym/ Josaphat sayd to nachor/ Ne wenest thou not that I knowe the/ I wote wel that thou arte not balaam/ but thou arte nachor the astro- nomyen/ and Josaphat prechyd thenne to hym the waye of helthe/ and couertyd hym to the feythe/ and on the morne fente hym in to deferte/ and there was baptyfed/ and ledde the lyf of an hermyte/ Thenne there was an enchauntour
enchauntour named theodas/ when he herde of this thynge/ he came to the kyng and sayd that he shold make his sone retorne and byleue in hys goddes/

And the kyng said to hym yf thou do so/ I shal make to the an ymage of golde and offre facrefyfes therto/ lyke as to my goddes/ and he sayd take aweye al them that ben about thy sone and put to hym fayre wymmen and wel adourned/ and commaunde them alle waye to abyde by hym/ and after I shal fende a wycked spyryte that shal enflamme hym to luxurye/ and there is noo thynge that may so sone deceyue the yonge men/ as the beaulte of wymmen/ and he sayd yet more/ there was a kynge whyche had wyth grete payne a sone/ & the wyfe of the maysters sayden that yf he sawe sone or mone wythin ten yere/ he shold lose the fyghte of his eyen/

Thennen hit was ordeyned that thys chylde shold be nourislied wythin a pytte made in a grete rocke/ and when the ten yere were paslyd/ The kynge commaunded that hys sone shold be brought forth and that all thynge s hold be broughte tofore hym by cause he shold knowe the names and the thynge s/ and thenne they brought tofore hym Jewelles/ horses and beefts of al names/ and alfo golde/
fyluer precyous ftones/ & all other thynges and when he had demaundd the names of euer thynges/ and that the mynyfres had tolde hym/ he fette nought therby/ and when his fader faw that he retched not of suche thynges/ thene the kynge made to be broughte tofore hym wymmen quayntely arayed/ and he de-maunderd what they were/ For they wold not foo lyghtly telle hym/ wherof he was anoyed/ and after the mayfter ñquyer of the kyng sayd iapyng that they were deyulles that deceyue men/ Thenne the kynge demaundd hym what he lyeuest had of al that he had seen/ and he anfweryd fader my foule coueuyteth noo thynges to moche as the deyulles that deceyue men/ and therfore I supposè that none other thynges shal surmounte thy fone but wymmen whiche moeue men alle waye to lecherye/ thenne the kynge put out alle his mynyfres and fette therin to be about his fone riôt noble & fayre maydens/ whyche alweye hym admoneested to playe/ and there were none other that myght speke ne ferue hym/ and anone the enchauntour fent to hym the deyyl for to enflame hym whiche brennyd the yonge man wythin-forth/ & the maydens wythoutforth/ and when he felte hym foo strongelye trauaylled he was moche angry/ and recomaundd hym felf alle
alle to god / and he receyued deuyne conforte / in suche wyse that al temptacyon departed from hym / & after this that the kynge fawe that the deuyl had don no thynge he fente to hym a fayre mayden a kynges daughter whyche was faderles/ To whome this man of god prechyd and she answerd yf thou wylte faue me/ and take me aweye fro worshyppying of thydolles/ conioyne the vnto me by couplyng of maryage / for the patryarke/ prophetes/ and peter the appoftle had wyues/ and he sayd to hir/ woman thyse wordes sayest thou now for nought/ It apperteyneth wel to crysten men to wedde wyues/ but not to them that haue promysed to our lord to kepe vyrgynyte/ 

And she sayd to hym/ now be it as thou wylte/ but yf thou wylte faue my fowle/ graunte to me a lytel requeste/ lye wyth me onelye this nyght and I promyse to the that to morne I shal be made crysten/ For as ye say the aungels have more ioye in heuen of one fynnar doyng penaunce/ thenne on many other/ There is grete guerdon due to hym that doth penaunce/ & converteth hym/ therfore graunte to me onely thyse request/ and foo thou shalte faue me/ and thenne she began strongly to affayle the toure of his conscience/ Thenne the deuyl sayd to his felowes/ loo see how
how this mayde hath strongely put forth that we myst not moeue/ Come thenne and lete vs knocke strongely ageynst hym syth we fynde now tyme couenable/

And whan the holy yonge man fawe thys thynge/ and that he was in that caytyfnes/ That the couetyse of hys flethes admonefsted hym to sygne/

And also that he defyred the fauacyon of the mayde/ by entysyng of the deuyl that moeuyd hym/ he thenne put hym self to prayer in wepynge/ and there fyl a flepe/ and fawe by a vyflyon that he was broughte in to a medowe arayed wyth fayr floures/ there where the leuys of the trees demened a fwete founde/ whiche came by a wynde agreeable/ and therout ysued a merueyllous odour/ and the fruyte was right fayre to see/ and right delectable of taeste/ and there were setes of golde and fyluer and precyous ftones/ and the beddes were noble and precyously adurned/ and ryght clere water ranne there by/ and after that he entred in to a cyte of which the walles were of fyne golde/ and shone by meruayllous clereneffe/ and fawe in the ayer somme that fange a fonge/ that neuer eer of mortal man herde lyke/ and it was sayd this is the place of bleffyd sayntes/ and as they wolde haue had hym thens/ he prayed them that they
they wold lete hym dwelle there and they sayd to hym / thou shalt yet hereafter come hyther wyth grete trauayle yf thou mayst suffre/ and after they ledde hym in to a ryght horryble place ful of al fylthe and stenche / and sayd to hym this is the place of wycked peple/ and whan he awoke hym semed that the beaute of that damoyfel was more foull and fynkyng thenne alle the other ordure/ and thenne the wycked spyrytes came ageyn to theodafe/ and he thenne blamyd them/ to whome they sayd we ranne vpon hym tofore he marked wyth the fygone of the crosse/ & troubled hym strongelye and whan he was garnysshed with the fygone of the crosse/ he persecuted vs by grete force/ Thenne theodafe came to hym with the kynge and had hoped that he shold haue peruered hym/ But this enchauntour was taken of hym/ whome he supposèd to haue taken and was convuerted and receyued baptesme/ and lyued after an holy lyf and thenne the kynge was al despayred/ and by councel of his frendes he delyuered to hym halfe his royame/ & how be it that Jofaphat defyred wyth alle his thoughte the deserte/ yet for to encrece the feythe he receyued the royame for a certeyn tyme/ and maad chirches and reysed crosse and convuerted moche people of his royame to the
the fayth of Jhefu cryfte/ and atte laft the fader consented to the refons & predycacions of his fone and byleuyd on the feythe of Jhefu cryft/ & receyued baptesme/ and lefte his royame hole to his fone/ & entended to werkes of penaunce/ and after fynyffhed his lyf laudably/ and Josaphat ofte warned the kyng barachye that he wolde goo in to deferte/ but he was reteyned of the peple longe tyme/ but atte lafte he fledde awayne in to deferte/ and as he wente in a deferte/ he gafe to a pour man his habyte ryal/ and abode in a ryght pour gowne/ & the deuyl made to hym many affaultes/ for somtyme he ranne vpon hym wyth a fwerde drawen/ and menaced to fmyte yf he lefte not the deferte/ and another tyme he apperyd to hym in the forme of a wylde beeft/ & fomed & ranne on hym as he wold haue deuoured hym/ and thenne Josaphat fayd/ Our Lord is myn helpar/ I doubte no thynge that man may do to me/ and thus Josaphat was two yere vagante & erryd in deferte/ and coude not fynde balaam and at the lafte he fonde a cave in the erthe and knockyd at the dore & fayd/ Fader bleffe me/ and anone balaam herde the voys of hym/ and roos vp & wente out/ and thenne eche kyssed other and enbraced straytelye/ and were glad of their assemblyng/
assemblyng/ and after Josaphat recounted to balaam al thyfe thynges that were happenyd/

And he rendryd & gaue.thankynges to god therfore/ and Josaphat dwelled there many yeres in grete and meruayllous penaunce ful of vertues/ and whan balaam had accomplynshed hys dayes/ he reftyd in pees aboute the yere of our lord foure hundred & four score Josaphat lefte his royame the xxv yere of his age/ and ledde the lyf of an hermyte fyue and thyrty yere/ and thenne reftyd in pees ful of vertues/ and was buryed by the body of balaam/ and whan the kyngs barachyas herde of thys thynge he came vnto that same place with a grete companye/ and toke the bodyes and bare them wyth moche grete honoure in to hys cytee where god hath shewed many fayre myracles at the tombe of thyse two precyous bodyes/

C Thus endeth the story of balaam and Josaphat.
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PART I.

King Avenerio's Persecution over the Christian Faith; he prayeth to his Idols that his Queen might bear a Child.

Which may be sung to the tune, Aim not too high.

GOOD Christian people, now be pleas'd to mind,
This pious book, and in it soon you'll find,
Divine records show plainly to our view,
What miracles our gracious God can do.

Full well we know this is the heathens case,
Tho' they have not receiv'd the light of grace:
By nature the sun, moon, and stars obey,
Thus every land some kind of homage pay.
Thus by degrees God calls them home we know,
And mighty miracles does daily show,
To make his righteous gospel spread and shine,
That all may know his power most divine.

Then let the ignorant atheist blush for shame,
And never more abuse God's holy name,
For God created all things, great and small,
And man to be chief ruler over all.

The cause of this my treating I'll explain,
In foreign lands a tyrant KING did reign;
A persecutor of the christian faith,
As many good and learned writers faith.

His Queen was young and beautiful also,
In worldly pleasures they did overflow;
One thing which most their comforts did destroy,
They had no issue that could it enjoy.

This King, who in vain idols did believe,
Sent for his priests to pray she might conceive;
Pray to our Gods, said he, that we may have,
A child for that is all I need to crave.

For with all sorts of plenty I am blest,
What e'er my heart can wish to be possielf: A child will crown my days with pleasure then, And I should be the happiest of all men.

They all replied, we will your will obey,
And for this thing we to our Gods will pray: The King said, if such blessings come to me, Then you shall surely well rewarded be.
Vain ignorant man God's laws so disobey'd,  
To think that idols, which by hands are made,  
Have power; no; such things let us defy,  
And put our faith and trust in God most high.  
Who is the righteous living God of might,  
Nothing is hidden from his blessed sight,  
He knows man's thoughts, and sees his actions still,  
And nothing can be done without his will.

PART II.

The Queen proving with Child, he rewarded his Idol Priests, and sent for the Wise Men.

Thus in a vain presumptuous manner, they,  
Did often to their golden idols pray,  
According to the order of their King,  
Which was indeed a bold presumptuous thing.  
From heathen priests no virtue could proceed,  
But by the work of God it was decreed,  
His fond desire should fulfilled be,  
That he a mighty miracle should see.  
According to the will of God above,  
His Queen conceived, and with child did prove,  
Then did the King joy through the land proclaim,  
And thought his idol Gods had caus'd the same.  
Unto
Unto his heathen priests great gifts he sent, 
Saying, my days are crowned with sweet content: 
My Queen has now conceived, and I shall have, 
The thing which I so long did wish and crave.

Five of the wisest men that could be found, 
In King Avenerio's kingdom round, 
He sent for them and did a feast prepare, 
Three months before her time expired were.

Unto these wise philosophers he said, 
My Queen in three months will be brought to bed; 
'Till then, you in my palace shall remain, 
That I may know what planet then will reign.

PART III.

The Queen being delivered of JEHOSAPHAT, 
The Wise Men tell the Signification of the Child's Planet.

At last she was delivered of a son, 
Which joyful tidings thro' the kingdom run, 
The sweetest child that ever eyes beheld, 
With joy and gladness then the King was filled.

Jehosaphat this prince was nam'd we find: 
The wise men were disturbed in their minds, 
For
For by the rule of planets they did see,
Such things as would not with the King agree.

Four of them said, what shall we do alas!
For thro' this child strange things will come to pass;
Therefore we must dissemble with our King,
And tell him, 'twill be well in every thing.

Now when the wise men came in the King's view!
He said, what have you found, pray tell me true?
Four of them said, fortune has on you smil'd,
For you are blest with a sweet hopeful child.

In every thing he will obey your will,
And crown your days with joy and comfort still:
To hear these things the King was pleased in mind;
The wisest man he fear'd, and said behind.

Then from the King these wise men did depart:
He for the other sent, and said, thou art
The wisest man, and therefore tell thou me,
What you concerning of my child did see?

I am afraid to tell you, he reply'd,
Because, O King! you'll be dissatisfied;
Let it be good, or ill, speak, said the King,
For thee I will believe in every thing.

He said, O King! those men did flatter you;
What they have said, indeed it is not true:

For
For in that fatal hour I did see,
Your child is born a christian for to be.
   Up firmly for the christian faith he'll stand,
And all your priests he'll banish from the land;
Your golden Gods he clearly will destroy;
Your days are mix'd with grief instead of joy.
   Hearing these words the King to weeping fell,
Saying, this is sad news which now you tell,
My joys are turn'd to sorrow, grief and woe,
Then how I may prevent it, let me know.

The wise philosopher then said, behold,
Your child must suck till three years old,
And build a famous palace in that space,
That he may be secured in that place.
   To wait on him, get twelve young virgins fair,
And some great knight to tutor him with care,
The word of God or Christ ne'er let him hear,
And thus let him be kept for fifteen years.

   Should one of them fall sick, or chance to die,
Be sure you get another speedily;
No death or sickness let him e'er perceive,
But all for ever lives, make him believe.

Then take him forth all pleasures for to see,
And to some princes let him wedded be:
By this contrivance I'll assure you true,
Your child will be a comfort unto you.
PART IV.

King AVENERIO's contrivance to have his son brought up in the Heathen Way, which prevailed not.

THEN as the wise philosopher had said,
    He caused a sumptuous palace to be made,
And soon he got twelve virgins as we hear,
All aged from thirteen unto twenty years.
    He put in trust Lionone called by name,
Who was a noble baron of great fame,
    That he might be his tutor, and his guide,
To learn him well, and train him up in pride.
    He was to be confined for fifteen years,
Commanding that God's word he might not hear,
    Nor any talk of holy things divine;
But mark how God did baulk the King's design.
    His father came oft times to see him there,
To whom the tutor——did this declare,
Your son he doth increase in learning so,
    He'll be a wise philosopher I know.
    Then said the King, the wise man told to me,
My child was born a christian for to be;
That false philosopher I need not mind,
    For now I shall much joy and comfort find.
    When 12 long years were gone and past behold,
The prince was then about fifteen years old;
    He
He lov’d one virgin more than all the rest,
To whom Jehosaphat his mind express’d.
   Why am I so confined here, I pray?
I long to see my father’s palace gay,
And walk abroad to take the air likewise,
   Why are these things thus hidden from my eyes.
   Now if you will reveal the truth to me,
Thou ever shalt high in my favour be;
But if thou dost refuse, I’ll scorn thee quite,
   And never will endure thee in my sight.
   The damsel said, then as her eyes did flow,
Your father will put me to death I know,
   If I should tell; and if I it refuse,
Then I for ever must your favour lose.
   Jehosaphat said, speak, be not afraid:
So then she told him what the wise men said;
And did unto the prince the cause relate,
   Why he was kept confined at this rate.
   Should you go forth, the city for to see,
Your heart with mirth will ravish’d be,
   To view the court, and famous buildings store,
This set the prince a longing more and more.
   And said to Lionone, one thing I crave,
To walk abroad I may some freedom have:
   The knight went to the King, and got him leave,
But, O the King in floods of tears did grieve.
   The King set forth a proclamation then,
That blind and lame, and all deformed men,
Should keep up close when as the prince past thro',
But strong and lofty should appear in view.

The prince was mounted on a lofty steed,
Great lords and barons met him there indeed,
To 'commodate him through the city fair,
While at each window music play'd most rare.

The people were amaz'd at this fine sight,
Likewise the prince was fill'd with great delight;
Then home he went, and to the damsel told,
What pleasant sights that day he did behold.

Most royal prince, the damsel then did say,
Did you but see the fields and gardens gay,
Where birds do sing, and fragrant flowers grow,
You would be much more ravished I know.

Once more the tutor did the King acquaint,
The second time to give his son content:
Setting his proclamation forth again,
So out they went with all their noble train.

The fields and gardens gave him great delight,
And singing birds his heart did much invite;
He was well pleas'd to view the parks most rare,

When evening come, for home they did repair.

But now to drive off all these pleasures gay,
They met with two objects by the way:
One blind, the other full of leprosy,
Who for the sake of God crav'd charity.
The prince unto his tutor then did say,
What is the meaning, tell me now I pray,
Of these strange creatures? straight the knight replies,
They are two Men full of infirmities.
For by the cause of sin 'tis God's decree,
Some men are born afflicted for to be;
As blind or lame, such things the Lord doth shew,
That all may praise his name who are not so.
Jehosaphat then said, If this be true,
The like as well may fall on me or you,
The Knight then said God knows best, so home they went,
Jehosaphat was fill'd with discontent.
The Tutor then unto the King made known,
Your son is very melancholy grown,
Some sport and pastime therefore let him see,
In hopes his drooping heart may cheered be.
The King gave leave, the tutor once more came
With many lords and barons of great fame,
To ride a hunting then they took their way,
In mirth they spent a pleasant summer's day.
But riding home, out of a cell appears
A man whose age was near an hundred years,
Bald-headed, toothless, hollow-ey'd withal,
The palsy shook him, he could hardly crawl;
Jehosaphat
Jehofaphat then said, Pray let me know
What thing is this so strange and seems to go?
The Knight said 'tis a man with age quite spent,
Ready to die,—this made the prince relent.

Prince.) When must he die, O tell me now I pray?
Tutor.) No one but God can tell his dying day.
Pr.) What must be done with him when he is dead?
Tu.) Then under earth his body must be laid.

Then said the prince, If that it must be so,
This is a vain deceitful world I know,
The pleasures of it I'll no longer prize,
But have the thoughts of death before mine eyes.

Now when the tutor had these things then told,
The King did weep, and said, my heart seems cold,
My child is come to ruin now, alas!
I fear the wise man's words will come to pass.

PART V.

Prince Jehofaphat's Conversion to the Christian Faith and Doctrine.

BARLAAM, a christian hermit, who had spent
Long time in desert places, to him God sent
An angel, who unto the hermit faith,
Go teach Jehofaphat the christian faith.

Whate'er
Whate'er thou sayest he shall be rul'd by thee; For God hath chose Jehofaphat to be His faithful servant, guilless of offence, Tho' kept so long in wicked ignorance.

Then Barlaam came unto the palace brave, To whom the porter said, what would you have? Said Barlaam, I must speak with your great prince.
The man at first deny'd him entrance.

Barlaam.) I am a merchant, now I tell to thee, And bring a precious jewel here with me, All other things the same it doth outvie, For he who keeps the same shall never die. The virtue of this jewel is so pure, All manner of distempers it will cure: If he were blind 'twould give him perfect sight, If he were lame 'twould make him walk upright.

Porter.) Pray let me see this jewel if you can? Barlaam.) I dare not show it to a married man, For none must see it but a virgin pure, Your prince a virgin is I can assure.
The porter knowing what he said was true, Said, I will go and tell the prince of you: He went and told the prince, who soon was free He to his chamber should admitted be.

When Barlaam came the prince said Let me see The precious jewel you have brought for me. Barlaam
Barlaam.) You cannot see it with an outward fight,
But must behold it with an inward light.

Then Prince Jehosaphat did mildly say,
What do you mean by inward light I pray?
Barlaam the hermit made this answer then,
This jewel is the *Saviour of all men*.

The gods you serve are devils I you tell,
And leading you the ready way to hell;
There's none to serve but one true God of Might,

Hearken to me and I will teach you right.

God made the heavens, Lucifer first fell
With many more down to the pit of hell,
For pride, and so the devils all became
To be tormented in a burning flame.

Those having fell, the heavens were left bare,
So by that means the worlds created were;
In six days space God did this work fulfill,
And make all things according to his will:

Man being made well-pleasing in his fight,
The devil was enrag'd with wrath and spite,
'Cause he himself can in no pleasure dwell,
He fain would draw all souls to him in hell.

The devil's snare first caused Adam's fall,
Which was the cause mankind have sinned all,
And so the world became filthy and vain,
But by Christ's death it was restored again.
When Barlaam had explain'd the scripture o'er,
The prince increas'd in learning more and more:
He said, I will believe and bear in mind
My Christ that dy'd for me and all mankind.

O teach me how to serve my God most pure,
That after death my soul may be secure,
With God & Christ who dwells with the Most High,
Barlaam in parable made this reply:

To a great lord two coffins once were brought,
One of them very fine and richly wrought
With gold, the other rotten were,
He chose that coffin which was wrought so rare:

The gaudy coffin being open'd wide,
A parcel of old rotten bones he spy'd;
The rotten coffin then burst open were,
Where he beheld choice pearls and di'monds rare:

He bluht for shame and was converted straight,
Crying, O Lord, my sins are very great;
The glory of the earth is vain I see,
The poorest of this earth will happiest be.

The prince said I will worldly pleasure slight,
And in the poor will place my whole delight;
Henceforth I will defy all pomp and pride,
I thank you brother, Jesus be my guide.
PART VI.

King Avenerio’s Malice against his Son
Jehosaphat for being a Christian.

LIONONE finding what would come to pass,
He smote upon his breast, and cry’d alas
What answer shall I make my sovereign lord?
Death without mercy will be my reward.

Then he a rope about his neck did fling,
And in this manner went unto the king,
Then kneeling down he made a courteous bow,
The king reply’d, sir, what’s the matter now?
What, art thou mad, Lionone, tell me true,
That you appear so strangely in my view?
Lionone trembled; and made this reply,
O hang me up, for I deserve to die.

I do deserve no mercy for my share,
You left your son under my charge and care,
To tutor him the way that is most right,
But now, alas! your son is turned quite.

For by a false deceitful man’s advice,
Who said he had a jewel of great price:
By his fly ways I fell into a snare;
He’s made your son a Christian I declare.

He in the Christian faith is grown so bold,
That our religion he in scorn doth hold:

He
He rails against our Gods at a vile rate,
And says they shall be burned at his gate.

Rise up Lionone, then replied the King,
I will not execute you for this thing:
Thou may’st be sure no harm shall come to thee,
But on my son revenged I will be.

If that he will not turn to us again,
As I'm King, I'll cause him to be slain:
I’d better kill him though he is my child,
Than let my kingdom utterly be spoil’d.

So sending for his son these words he said,
Haft thou my laws and counsel disobey'd?
If it be true what I have heard of thee,
Then by my honour thou destroy’d shalt be.

I have been told thou art a christian turn’d,
If it be so, 'tis fit thou should’st be burn’d:
Thou shalt not live to overcome my land,
The truth of this now let me understand.

Father I am a christian to be plain,
That holy faith I ever will maintain:
To suffer death I will be very free,
For my dear Christ that shed his blood for me.

Then did he give his son sad kicks and blows,
'Till blood gushed out both from his mouth and nose.
I thank you, Father, then replied the son,
It is God’s will for me, this should be done.

My
My favour Christ with many stripes was beat,
And to the cross they nail'd his hands and feet,
To bear your blows with patience I am free,
I cannot bear what Christ has bore for me.

To find out Barlaam then we understand,
He sent a proclamation through the land;
That man by whom this hermit could be found,
Should have for his reward a hundred pound.

Long time they fought him, but 'twas in vain,
He thought to take his son, and have him slain,
His council said, your son pray do not slay,
And we will put you in a better way.

Nicer resembles Barlaam in the face,
Let him be brought before his royal grace,
He'll think 'tis Barlaam, therefore Nicor must,
Tell him the heathen way is good and just.

And say 'twas false what he had said before,
So by that means your son we may restore;
And bring him safe into our way again,
Then he the Christian faith will quite disdain.

Let all the Christians which confined are,
Be brought into your royal palace rare,
To hear the strong dispute; when this is done,
They'll all turn heathens with the prince your son.

Then Nicor being sent for to the court,
The King unto his son gave this report:

Barlaam
Barlaam is taken which thou soon shalt see,  
Then said the prince, this news rejoiceth me.  

So soon as Nicor to the court was brought,  
The prince was filled with a jealous thought,  
It was not Barlaam, sometimes he thought he was,  
Then he began to plead the heathen's cause.  

The prince before them all, said, wicked elf,  
What art thou come to plead against thyself?  
Except thou dost the christian faith maintain,  
This very day by me thou shalt be slain.  

Remember David, God anointed King,  
Who slew the proud Philistines with a fling:  
If thou art ne'er so strong, assured I be,  
Into my hands God will deliver thee.  

Said Nicor, I was sent you to deceive,  
The Devil brought me here I do believe:  
I am not Barlaam, Nicor is my name,  
Brought up a heathen lord, the more's my shame.  

No more I'll be a heathen for my part,  
But serve the christian God with all my heart:  
The pagans down their heathen books did fling  
And burnt their Gods in presence of the King.
PART VII.

The conversion of King Avenerio, which caused the gospel of Christ to be publicly manifested throughout the whole land.

SEEING these things the King aloud did cry

O! what a wretched sinful man am I?
Against the holy word of truth to fight,
I find the christian faith is pure and right.
Against that faith I will no longer hold:
O blessed be the wise men that foretold,
What was decreed at the sweet righteous birth,
The blessed'ft child that ere was born on earth:

Dear son, behold I fall down at thy feet,
Hoping thou wilt by prayer to God intreat
In my behalf to cleanse my sinful soul,
Which has been long polluted vain and foul.

Rise up dear father, then the prince did say,
I'll beg of God to wash your sins away.
My heart is cheer'd to see such change in you,
The thoughts do more and more my joys renew.

Father, it was God's will I should be sent
To save you from the dreadful punishment
Of hell's hot fire which does poor souls destroy,
We shall be crown'd with everlasting joy.

Churches were built—the land became divine,
Then did the righteous gospel spread and shine;

The
The poor confined christians were set free,
In christian love the land did soon agree.
    Death call'd the king down to his silent tomb,
Jehosaphat reigned in his father's room,
    And was by all his subjects dearly lov'd
Because the word of Christ was well approv'd.

Thus for some time he did the faith defend,
But in that land his life he did not end;
But to Alfanes did his throne resign,
    That he might keep it holy and divine.

    For fear that worldly pleasures which are vain
In any wise should draw him back again,
    He fought out Barlaam to be satisfy'd,
In lonesome deserts he with hermits dy'd.

    The people griev'd for loss of their good prince.
But good Alfanes stood in the defence
    Of the true faith, which is divine and pure,
And ever shall from age to age endure.

    All we who in a christian nation dwell
Should mind God's word, and prize it very well
    And not abuse it as we daily do,
For fear just punishment should us pursue.

Since mighty miracles so plain are seen,
    Let's beg of God for faith to make us clean;
That after death our souls may live on high
With JESUS CHRIST to all Eternity.

FINIS.